

OPERATOR-VALUED POSITIVSTELLENSÄTZE ON MATRIX CONVEX SETS AND FREE PRODUCTS OF FINITE ABELIAN GROUPS

ABHAY JINDAL^{1, Q}, IGOR KLEP^{2, Q}, AND SCOTT MCCULLOUGH

ABSTRACT. We prove a Positivstellensatz for operator-valued noncommutative polynomials that are positive on matrix convex sets. Specifically, let $p \in B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle$ be an operator-valued polynomial of degree at most $2\mathfrak{d} + 1$, where \mathcal{H} is separable and infinite-dimensional. Let $L(x) = I + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} A_j x_j$ be a monic linear operator pencil, and let $\mathcal{D}_L = \{X \mid L(X) \geq 0\}$ be the associated matrix convex set. We show that p is positive on \mathcal{D}_L if and only if

$$p = r^* r + q^* \pi(L) q,$$

where $q, r \in B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle$ have degree at most \mathfrak{d} , and π is a unital completely positive map on the operator system generated by the coefficients of L . The proof combines a Hahn–Banach separation argument with a tailored GNS construction. The main challenge in implementing the GNS construction in the present context is that the separation occurs in the product ultraweak topology, so boundedness of the resulting GNS operators is not automatic. We first handle the case of bounded matrix convex sets, using the closedness of the cone of weighted squares (in the product ultraweak topology) as the key technical input, and then pass to the general unbounded case via an approximation argument.

Finally, we apply this convex Positivstellensatz to prove an operator-valued noncommutative Fejér–Riesz theorem on free products of finite abelian groups. The key additional ingredients are the universal $*$ -algebra $\text{povm}(n)$ associated with POVMs, a ‘perfect’ Positivstellensatz for $\text{povm}(n)$, and Boca’s theorem on free products of completely positive maps. As a consequence, every positive operator-valued trigonometric polynomial on a free product of finite abelian groups admits a sum-of-squares factorization with explicit complexity bounds.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L07, 47A56, 47A68, 52A70; Secondary 47A63, 13J30, 46L09.

Key words and phrases. operator-valued noncommutative polynomial, convex Positivstellensatz, trigonometric polynomial, Fejér–Riesz theorem, sum of squares, completely positive map, GNS construction, free product, POVM.

¹Supported by the Slovenian Research Agency program P1-0222 and grant J1-50002. AJ thanks l’École Polytechnique and Inria for hospitality during the preparation of this manuscript.

²Supported by the Slovenian Research Agency program P1-0222 and grants J1-50002, N1-0217, J1-60011, J1-50001, J1-3004 and J1-60025. Partially supported by the Fondation de l’École polytechnique as part of the Gaspard Monge Visiting Professor Program. IK thanks École Polytechnique and Inria Paris Saclay for hospitality during the preparation of this manuscript.

^QThis work was performed within the project COMPUTE, funded within the QuantERA II Programme that has received funding from the EU’s H2020 research and innovation programme under the GA No 101017733 .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Main results	3
1.1.1. Noncommutative polynomials and linear pencils	3
1.1.2. Free products of finite abelian groups	6
1.2. What's new	7
1.3. Reader's guide	8
2. Preliminaries	8
2.1. Convex cone of weighted squares	9
2.2. Fock space and coefficient extraction	9
2.2.1. Left creation operators	10
2.2.2. Extraction formula for coefficients	10
3. Topologies on \mathcal{A}_d	11
3.1. Point-WOT	11
3.2. Closedness of the cone $\tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}$	11
4. GNS construction	14
4.1. The positive block matrix S and an auxiliary Hilbert space \mathcal{M}	15
4.2. The coordinate maps $\Phi(w)$ and the Hilbert space \mathcal{E}	15
4.3. The operator tuple Y	16
4.3.1. The Y_j are bounded	16
4.3.2. The Y_j are self-adjoint	18
4.4. The representing vector and evaluation	18
4.5. Positivity of $L(Y)$	19
5. Proof of Theorem A	20
5.1. Reductions	20
5.2. Proof of Proposition 5.1	23
6. The finite-dimensional setting	24
7. Not necessarily monic pencils and affine linear change of variable	26
7.1. A special linear pencil and an application of Proposition 7.1	26
8. Positivstellensatz for the $*$ -algebra $\text{povm}(n)$	28
8.1. The $*$ -algebra povm	28
8.2. Free products	30
9. Proof of Theorem B	31
9.1. From abelian to cyclic	32
9.2. Free product of finite cyclic groups	32
9.3. Applying Boca's theorem	33
9.3.1. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n]$ in projection form	33
9.3.2. Boca's theorem	34
9.4. A splitting	34
References	35

1. INTRODUCTION

Positivity and sums of squares lie at the heart of operator theory and real algebraic geometry. In the commutative setting, the quest for positivity certificates via sums of squares goes back to Hilbert’s 17th problem in 1900 and is closely intertwined with the development of moment theory and the Positivstellensätze of Schmüdgen [Scm91] and Putinar [Put93]; for classical results and modern treatments see [BCR98, Mar08, Sce24].

In the 21st century, ideas from linear systems theory and optimization [SIG98, dOHMP09, WM21], quantum physics [BCPSW14, NPA07, NPA08], and free probability [MiSp17, VDN92] helped drive the development of the free, or noncommutative, analog into a broad area of noncommutative function theory [KVV14, MuSo11, AM15, BMV16, PTD22, Voi04, Voi10]. Among its central themes are factorization and Positivstellensätze for noncommutative polynomials. An early milestone is Helton’s theorem showing that positive scalar-valued noncommutative polynomials are sums of squares [Hel02] (see also [McC01]). Recently, Volčič [Vol21] established a proper noncommutative analog of Artin’s solution to Hilbert’s 17th problem. See also [HM04, HMP04, Pop95, JM12, JMS21] and the references therein for further developments.

In the noncommutative setting, sums of squares and positivity are often much more closely aligned than in the classical one. This phenomenon is illustrated by convex Positivstellensätze [HKM12, HKM17], which give algebraic certificates for polynomials that are positive on free spectrahedra, that is, on sets defined by linear matrix inequalities [HM12, Zal17]. Such results now play a central role in free analysis and matrix convexity [Kri19, ANT19, Pas22, Vol24], and are closely tied to the theory of completely positive maps and operator systems [Pau03, EW97, DDSS17, FHL18, EPŠ24]. Similar rigidity is observed in various noncommutative factorization theorems in the style of Fejér–Riesz [DR10, GW05], e.g., for positivity in free group algebras [McC01, BT07, Oza13] and virtually-free groups [NT13, KLM+]. We also note limitations of algorithmic approaches to noncommutative positivity: positivity is undecidable in certain tensor-product settings [MSZ+, Lin+], and related non-attainment phenomena occur in the commuting-operator setting [FKMPRSZ+].

1.1. Main results. Motivated by these developments, this paper considers positivity for operator-valued noncommutative polynomials from two complementary perspectives. Our first main result, Theorem A, is a convex Positivstellensatz. Roughly speaking, it says that if an operator-valued noncommutative polynomial is positive on a matrix convex set (defined by a linear operator pencil), then it admits a weighted sum-of-squares certificate of optimal half-degree type. The certificate involves a ucp map applied to the pencil, reflecting the operator-valued nature of the problem. The second main result, Theorem B, applies Theorem A to free products of finite abelian groups and yields an operator-valued noncommutative Fejér–Riesz theorem: every positive trigonometric polynomial on such a free product admits a representation as a sum of hermitian squares, together with explicit bounds on the complexity of that representation. Connections between these results and related work in the literature are outlined in remarks accompanying their statements and in Subsection 1.2.

We are grateful to Mehta–Slofstra–Zhao [MSZ] for communicating to us an argument that plays a key role in the proof of Theorem B.

1.1.1. Noncommutative polynomials and linear pencils. Fix a positive integer g . Let $\langle x \rangle$ denote the free monoid on the g letters of the alphabet $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$. Its multiplicative identity is the empty word \emptyset . We endow $\langle x \rangle$ with the *graded lexicographic order*. The length of a word $w \in \langle x \rangle$ is denoted by $|w|$. The set of all elements (words) of $\langle x \rangle$ of length (or degree) at most d is $\langle x \rangle_d$. Its cardinality is $N(d) = \sum_{i=0}^d g^i$.

Unless explicitly stated otherwise, \mathcal{H} will be a fixed complex separable (infinite-dimensional) Hilbert space. Let $B(\mathcal{H})$ denote the space of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} , and let \mathcal{A} denote the free semigroup $B(\mathcal{H})$ -algebra on x ; that is, $\mathcal{A} = B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle = B(\mathcal{H})\langle x \rangle$. An element p of \mathcal{A} is of the form,

$$p = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle}^{\text{finite}} P_w w, \quad (1.1)$$

where $P_w \in B(\mathcal{H})$, and is referred to as an (operator-valued) polynomial in x . Let $\mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle_d \subset \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle$ denote the complex-valued polynomials of degree at most d and \mathcal{A}_d denote the elements of \mathcal{A} of degree at most d .

Equip \mathcal{A} with the involution $*$: on letters, $x_j^* = x_j$, on a word $w = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n} \in \langle x \rangle$,

$$w^* = x_{i_n} \cdots x_{i_1};$$

and, on a polynomial p as in (1.1),

$$p^* = \sum P_w^* w^*,$$

where P_w^* is the adjoint of the operator P_w in $B(\mathcal{H})$.

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_g)$ be a tuple of bounded operators on some Hilbert space. The *evaluation* of p at X is defined as

$$p(X) = \sum P_w \otimes X^w,$$

where $X^w = X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_n}$ for $w = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n}$. In general, $p(X)^*$ (the adjoint of $p(X)$) and $p^*(X)$ are not the same. They coincide if X is a tuple of self-adjoint operators.

As a special case of an operator-valued polynomial, let \mathcal{K} be a Hilbert space, and let L denote the linear operator pencil (affine linear polynomial)

$$L(x) = P_0 + \sum_{j=1}^g P_j x_j,$$

where P_0, \dots, P_g are bounded self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{K} . In the case $P_0 = I_{\mathcal{K}}$, the polynomial L is a monic linear operator pencil.

For a bounded operator T on a Hilbert space, the notation $T \geq 0$ means that the operator T is positive semidefinite (psd). The operator inequality

$$L(X) := P_0 \otimes I + \sum_{j=1}^g P_j \otimes X_j \geq 0$$

is called a *linear operator inequality (LOI)*. Let \mathcal{D}_L denote the collection of all g -tuples of self-adjoint matrices $X = (X_1, \dots, X_g)$ of any order such that $L(X) \geq 0$. We say that \mathcal{D}_L is bounded if there exists a natural number N such that $\sup\{\|X_j\| : X \in \mathcal{D}_L\} \leq N$ for all $j = 1, \dots, g$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm.

Throughout this article, unless explicitly stated otherwise, we fix a monic linear pencil

$$L(x) = I + \sum_{j=1}^g \mathbb{A}_j x_j, \quad (1.2)$$

where the \mathbb{A}_j are self-adjoint operators on the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} . Let $\mathcal{S}_L \subset B(\mathcal{K})$ denote the (unital) operator system spanned by $\mathbb{A}_1, \dots, \mathbb{A}_g$, and let $C^*(\mathcal{S}_L) \subset B(\mathcal{K})$ denote the C^* -algebra generated by \mathcal{S}_L . Note that \mathcal{S}_L is finite-dimensional, and $C^*(\mathcal{S}_L)$ is separable. We write $\text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))$

for the set of unital completely positive (ucp) maps from \mathcal{S}_L into $B(\mathcal{H})$. For $\pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))$, the linear pencil

$$\pi(L) := \pi(I) + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} \pi(\mathbb{A}_j)x_j$$

is monic. If $X \in \mathcal{D}_L$, then $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\pi(L)}$. Indeed, for such X ,

$$\pi(L)(X) = \pi(I) \otimes I + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} \pi(\mathbb{A}_j) \otimes X_j = (\pi \otimes \text{id})(L(X)) \geq 0.$$

We are now in a position to present our first main result. By the Effros-Winkler Hahn-Banach theorem [EW97, HM12] combined with a routine density argument, every closed matrix convex set is of the form \mathcal{D}_L for a LOI L . Accordingly, Theorem A yields a Positivstellensatz for operator-valued polynomials that are positive on a closed matrix convex set:

Theorem A. *Let \mathcal{H} be a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Let $p \in B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle$ be an operator-valued polynomial of degree at most $2\mathfrak{d} + 1$, and let*

$$L = I + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{A}_j x_j \in B(\mathcal{K}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle$$

be a monic linear pencil. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any \mathfrak{g} -tuple of self-adjoint matrices $X = (X_1, \dots, X_{\mathfrak{g}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^{\mathfrak{g}}$, $p(X) \geq 0$ whenever $L(X) \geq 0$;
- (ii) There exist $q, r \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ and a ucp map $\pi : \mathcal{S}_L \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$p = r^*r + q^*\pi(L)q,$$

where $\mathcal{S}_L \subset B(\mathcal{K})$ is the unital operator system spanned by $\mathbb{A}_1, \dots, \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Remark 1.1. Several remarks related to Theorem A are in order.

- (a) Theorem A is stated and proved under the assumption that \mathcal{H} is infinite dimensional, so the finite-dimensional cases are not obtained by a direct specialization of Theorem A.
- (b) Nevertheless, when both \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} are finite-dimensional, one recovers [HKM12, Theorem 1.1] after an additional argument; see Theorem 6.1 item (ii). Likewise, when \mathcal{H} is finite-dimensional and \mathcal{K} is arbitrary, one recovers [Zal17, Theorem 1.5]; see Theorem 6.1(i).
- (c) No assumption is imposed on the dimension of \mathcal{K} in Theorem A. However, if \mathcal{K} is finite-dimensional, then one can obtain the sharper representation

$$p = r^*r + q^*(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes L)q,$$

for an auxiliary Hilbert space \mathcal{E} ; see Theorem 6.1(iii).

- (d) Theorem A is proved in Section 5. The following reformulation of Theorem A is convenient. Let $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, L}$ denote the cone of weighted squares of polynomials of degree at most \mathfrak{d} ,

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, L} := \{r^*r + q^*\pi(L)q : r, q \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{d}}, \pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))\} \subset \mathcal{A}_{2\mathfrak{d}+1}.$$

With this notation, Theorem A says if $p \in \mathcal{A}_{2\mathfrak{d}+1}$, then $p \geq 0$ on \mathcal{D}_L if and only if $p \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, L}$.

1.1.2. **Free products of finite abelian groups.** Fix a positive integer m . Let

$$\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{G}_m,$$

be the free product of finite abelian groups $\mathbb{G}_1, \dots, \mathbb{G}_m$.

Every nontrivial $w \in \mathbb{W}$ admits a unique representation as a reduced word, i.e., w is of the form

$$w = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_k,$$

where, for each $\ell = 1, \dots, k$, one has $g_\ell \in \mathbb{G}_{i_\ell} \setminus \{e\}$, and consecutive letters come from different factors, that is, $i_\ell \neq i_{\ell+1}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, k-1$. The *extent* of w is k .

Let \mathcal{E} be any separable (finite- or infinite-dimensional) Hilbert space. An element p of $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ is an *operator-valued polynomial* of the form (1.1) with $P_w \in B(\mathcal{E})$ and each $w \in \mathbb{W}$. The *extent* of p is the largest extent of a (reduced) word appearing in the sum in equation (1.1).

There is a natural *involution* $*$ on \mathbb{W} . On a word $w = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_k \in \mathbb{W}$,

$$w^* = g_k^{-1} \cdots g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}.$$

This involution extends to $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ by linearity,

$$p^* = \sum P_w^* w^*,$$

where P_w^* is the adjoint of the operator P_w in $B(\mathcal{E})$ and doing so makes $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ a $*$ -algebra. A polynomial p is *hermitian* if $p^* = p$. In particular, if $p \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$, then $p + p^*$ is hermitian.

Given a unitary representation τ of \mathbb{W} on a Hilbert space, the *evaluation* of a polynomial $p \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ at τ is defined by

$$p(\tau) := \sum_{w \in \mathbb{W}}^{\text{finite}} P_w \otimes \tau(w).$$

Note that

$$p(\tau)^* = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{W}}^{\text{finite}} P_w^* \otimes \tau(w)^* = p^*(\tau).$$

Let $\Pi(\mathbb{W})$ denote the class of all unitary representations of \mathbb{W} on separable Hilbert space. A polynomial p is called *positive*, written $p \geq 0$, if $p(\tau)$ is positive semidefinite for every $\tau \in \Pi(\mathbb{W})$. Thus $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ becomes an ordered $*$ -algebra. Moreover, p is hermitian if and only if $p(\tau)$ is hermitian for every $\tau \in \Pi(\mathbb{W})$.

The second main result, Theorem B, is a noncommutative Fejér–Riesz theorem and provides a sum-of-squares representation for positive operator-valued trigonometric polynomials on a free product of finite abelian groups. It generalizes [KLM+] (cf. [NT13]) and identifies extent as the appropriate notion of complexity for optimal positivity certificates. Its proof is given in Section 9 as a corollary of Theorem A and Boca’s theorem [Boc91]. The argument follows an outline generously shared with us by Mehta-Slofstra-Zhao [MSZ] (see also [MSZ+]) adapted to handle the operator, as opposed to scalar, coefficients appearing here.

Theorem B. *Let \mathcal{E} be any separable (finite or infinite-dimensional) Hilbert space. If $p \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ is a polynomial of extent \mathfrak{d} , then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *For any $\tau \in \Pi(\mathbb{W})$, $p(\tau) \geq 0$;*
- (ii) *There exist a positive integer N and polynomials $q_1, \dots, q_N \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ of extent at most $\lfloor \frac{\mathfrak{d}}{2} \rfloor + 1$ such that*

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^* q_i. \tag{1.3}$$

Remark 1.2. The following remarks concern Theorem B.

- (a) Item (ii) can also be phrased as a factorization result. Letting $q = \text{col}(q_1, \dots, q_N) \in B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^N) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$, (1.3) simply states

$$p = q^*q.$$

In particular, if \mathcal{E} is infinite-dimensional, then N in (1.3) can be chosen to be one.

In the case that \mathcal{E} is finite dimensional the bound N on the number of summands in (1.3) can be chosen at most $(\dim \mathcal{E}) (\sum_{j=1}^m |\mathbb{G}_j|) N(\mathbf{d})$. In particular, it depends only on the degree of p , the dimension of \mathcal{E} and the cardinalities of the \mathbb{G}_j .

- (b) The proof of Theorem B reduces to the case of finite cyclic groups, $\mathbb{G}_i = \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$. (See Subsection 9.1.) In that case there is a natural notion of degree for polynomials based on placing the shortlex order on reduced words: given a word w , a polynomial has degree at most w if it is a $B(\mathcal{H})$ -combination of words $u^{-1}v$ where $u, v \leq w$ and has *analytic degree* at most w if it is a linear combination of words of length at most w . A slightly stronger version of Theorem B was proved in [KLM+] for the case where each $\mathbb{G}_j = \mathbb{Z}_2$, in that a priori optimal bounds are obtained. Namely, if p has degree at most w , then $p = q^*q$, for some q with analytic degree at most w . (Here $u^* = u^{-1}$ for a word u .) Since, in this case, degree at most w implies extent at most $|w|$ (the length of w), it follows that if p has extent at most $2d$, then it factors as $p = q^*q$ where q has extent at most d . In general, such simple bounds fail; for instance, see [KLM+, Example 8.1] for $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3$, where it is shown, letting x denote the generator of \mathbb{Z}_2 and y a generator of \mathbb{Z}_3 , there is a polynomial of degree y that does not factor as q^*q for a q of analytic degree at most y .
- (c) A scalar-valued variant of Theorem B was suggested in [NT13, Section 6] and proved in [GoC23, Theorem 3.2.1], but to the best of our knowledge, these proofs do not give rise to *bounds* in (1.3) nor do they extend to operator-valued coefficients.

1.2. What's new.

(1) Recall that, in Theorem A, the polynomials and the pencil L have coefficients in $B(\mathcal{H})$ and $B(\mathcal{K})$ respectively. The special case where both \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} are finite-dimensional is due to [HKM12], and its generalization to infinite-dimensional \mathcal{K} but still finite-dimensional \mathcal{H} is given in [Zal17]. While our proof of Theorem A follows the now-standard sum-of-squares (sos) strategy, the passage to coefficients in $B(\mathcal{H})$ with \mathcal{H} infinite-dimensional introduces new difficulties.

Suppose that a polynomial $p \in \mathcal{A}_{2\mathbf{d}+1}$ does not belong to the corresponding cone of weighted squares $\tilde{\Sigma}_{2\mathbf{d},L}$. The Hahn–Banach separation theorem yields a linear functional φ that is nonnegative on that cone and negative on p . In the present operator-valued setting the relevant closedness, and thus separation, is only available in the product ultraweak topology. To prove this closedness, we use a canonical tuple A arising from truncated left creation operators on Fock space, together with coefficient-extraction estimates and uniform control of Gram-type representations. Further, additional structure arising from completely positive (cp) maps and topologies on spaces of cp maps is utilized.

The topological considerations and the need to consider cp maps make the representation-theoretic GNS step more delicate: boundedness of the resulting representing tuple is no longer automatic. To overcome this, we develop a GNS construction adapted to such ultraweakly continuous separating functionals, where the boundedness of the representing tuple is established within the construction itself. We first do this when \mathcal{D}_L is bounded, using the structure of the cone and coefficient-extraction estimates, and then reduce the general unbounded case to the bounded one

by approximation. In this way, the GNS construction produces a tuple $Y \in \mathcal{D}_L$ and a representing vector γ such that

$$\varphi(p) = \langle p(Y)\gamma, \gamma \rangle < 0,$$

certifying p is not positive on \mathcal{D}_L .

(2) The second part of the paper employs Theorem A to establish a “perfect” Positivstellensatz for the universal $*$ -algebra $\text{povm}(n)$ associated with POVMs on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (See Theorem 8.5). Since a group C^* -algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ of a finite abelian group G depends only on the order $|G|$ of the group G , it suffices to prove Theorem B for a free product of finite cyclic groups. Free product methods and Boca’s theorem [Boc91] are then invoked to obtain the desired sum-of-squares representation for positive operator-valued polynomials on free products of finite cyclic groups.

1.3. Reader’s guide. The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 collects the preliminary material used throughout the paper. In particular, we recall some results about completely positive maps, introduce the cones of weighted squares (appearing in item (ii) of Theorem A), and review the Fock-space coefficient-extraction machinery from [JKM26]. In Section 3 we introduce the product weak operator and product ultraweak topologies on \mathcal{A}_d and prove the closedness of the cones of weighted squares in the product ultraweak topology, see Proposition 3.4. The fact that the cone is closed allows for an application of the Hahn–Banach Separation Theorem.

Section 4 contains a GNS-type construction. Starting from an ultraweakly continuous linear functional (obtained from the Hahn–Banach separation) that is nonnegative on the cone of weighted squares, we construct a Hilbert space, a self-adjoint operator tuple, and a cyclic vector realizing the functional by evaluation. This construction is the main representation-theoretic ingredient in the proof of Theorem A. Section 5 then proves Theorem A by combining the closedness results of Section 3 with the GNS construction from Section 4 and a finite-dimensional compression argument. In Section 6, we explain how Theorem A recovers, as special cases, earlier results of Helton–Klep–McCullough [HKM12] and Zalar [Zal17].

Section 7 treats non-monic linear pencils by an affine linear change of variables, and then applies this framework to a special linear pencil that is used later in the proof of Theorem 8.5. Section 8 introduces the $*$ -algebra $\text{povm}(\underline{n})$, develops its free-product structure, and proves a perfect Positivstellensatz for its positive elements. Finally, Section 9 combines this Positivstellensatz and Boca’s theorem to prove Theorem B for operator-valued polynomials on free products of finite abelian groups.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We combine two classical results about cp maps, namely the Arveson Extension Theorem and Stinespring Dilation Theorem, in a form that we will use repeatedly throughout the paper. For a more detailed discussion and for the proofs, we refer the reader to [Dav25, Pau03].

Theorem 2.1 (Stinespring-Arveson). *If $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ is an operator system contained in a C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} , if \mathcal{E} is a Hilbert space, and if $\pi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ is a cp map, then there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{F} , a $*$ -representation $\tau : C^*(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{F})$ and a bounded linear map $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that $\pi(a) = T^*\tau(a)T$, where $C^*(\mathcal{S})$ is the C^* -algebra generated by \mathcal{S} . Moreover, if π is ucp, then T is an isometry; and if \mathcal{S} is finite dimensional and \mathcal{E} is separable, then \mathcal{F} can be chosen separable as well.*

Remark 2.2. A separable choice of \mathcal{F} is possible since $C^*(\mathcal{S})$ and \mathcal{E} are both separable. In fact, the conclusions of Theorem 2.1 hold with \mathcal{S} replaced by any operator system $\mathcal{S}' \supset \mathcal{S}$ for which $C^*(\mathcal{S}')$ is separable.

2.1. Convex cone of weighted squares. Index $\mathcal{H}^{N(\mathbf{d})}$ and $\mathcal{A}_d^{N(\mathbf{d})}$ (the algebraic direct sum of \mathcal{A}_d with itself $N(\mathbf{d})$ times) by $\langle x \rangle_{\mathbf{d}}$. For positive integers μ , let $V_\mu \in \mathcal{A}_d^N$ denote the *Veronese column vector* whose $w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathbf{d}}$ entry is w (adopting the usual convention of viewing w as the $B(\mathcal{H})$ -valued polynomial $I_{\mathcal{H}} w$). For instance, if $\mathbf{g} = 2$ and $\mathbf{d} = 2$, then

$$V_2 = \text{col} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2x_1 & x_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\Sigma_{\mathbf{d}}$ denote the *cone of squares* of polynomials of degree at most \mathbf{d} ,

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{d}} := \{ r^* r : r \in \mathcal{A}_{2\mathbf{d}} \} \subset \mathcal{A}_{2\mathbf{d}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Given $r \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{d}}$, the row vector R with w entry R_w is called the *coefficient vector* of r since $r = RV_{\mathbf{d}}$. In particular,

$$r^* r = V_{\mathbf{d}}^* R^* R V_{\mathbf{d}}$$

so that $r^* r$ has a representation as $V_{\mathbf{d}}^* G V_{\mathbf{d}}$ for a psd block matrix G . It was proved in [JKM26, Proposition 2.2] (see also [JKM26, Remark 2.4]) that $\Sigma_{\mathbf{d}}$ is a convex cone.

We introduce two new cones of weighted squares. Let $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{d},L}$ denote the *cone of weighted squares* of polynomials of degree at most \mathbf{d} ,

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{d},L} := \{ r^* r + q^* \pi(L) q : r, q \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{d}}, \pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H})) \} \subset \mathcal{A}_{2\mathbf{d}+1}, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{d}+1,\mathbf{a},L} := \{ r^* r + q^* \pi(L) q : r \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{d}+1}, q \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}, \pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H})) \} \subset \mathcal{A}_{2\mathbf{d}+2}. \quad (2.3)$$

It is clear that $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{d},L} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{d}+1,\mathbf{a},L}$. We will suppress the subscript L when it is clear from the context.

Proposition 2.3. *The cones of weighted squares $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{d}+1,\mathbf{a}}$ defined in (2.2) and (2.3), respectively, are closed under addition.*

Proof. We establish the result for $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{d}}$, the case of $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{d}+1,\mathbf{a}}$ being similar.

It is enough to show that

$$q_1^* \pi_1(L) q_1 + q_2^* \pi_2(L) q_2 \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{d}}$$

for any $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))$. Because \mathcal{H} is infinite dimensional there is a unitary $U : \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. The result now follows from the identity,

$$\begin{aligned} q_1^* \pi_1(L) q_1 + q_2^* \pi_2(L) q_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} q_1^* & q_2^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1(L) & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_2(L) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} q_1^* & q_2^* \end{bmatrix} U^* U \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1(L) & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_2(L) \end{bmatrix} U^* U \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= q^* \pi(L) q, \end{aligned}$$

where $q = U \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\pi = U \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_2 \end{bmatrix} U^* \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))$. □

2.2. Fock space and coefficient extraction. This subsection reviews the full Fock space and the creation operators, introduces their symmetrized versions in (2.5) (compressed to a suitable finite-dimensional subspace), and summarizes relevant results from [JKM26, Section 3]. One difference here is that we (implicitly) work with a scaled version of the creation operators. See equation (2.6).

The full Fock space can be defined over any Hilbert space. The *full Fock space* over $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{g}}$, denoted $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{g}}^2$, is:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{g}}^2 = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{g}})^{\otimes n},$$

where $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{g}})^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{C}$ represents the *vacuum vector* Ω . Thus elements of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}^2$ are sequences $(\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \dots)$ with $\psi_n \in (\mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{g}})^{\otimes n}$ and $\|(\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \dots)\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\psi_n\|^2 < \infty$.

2.2.1. Left creation operators. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{\mathfrak{g}}\}$ be any orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{g}}$. With any $w = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n} \in \langle x \rangle$, associate a vector

$$e_w = e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n} \in (\mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{g}})^{\otimes n}.$$

The set $\{e_w : w \in \langle x \rangle\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}^2$, with e_{\emptyset} corresponding to the vacuum vector Ω .

For each $j = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}$, define the *left creation operator* C_j on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}^2$ by

$$C_j(e_w) = e_{x_j w} \in (\mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{g}})^{\otimes (|w|+1)}, \quad (w \in \langle x \rangle). \quad (2.4)$$

Clearly, each C_j is an isometry. Moreover $C_i^* C_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

Fix a positive integer $d \geq 2\mathfrak{d} + 2$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, d}^2$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}^2$ spanned by $\{e_w : w \in \langle x \rangle_d\}$ and $\iota = \iota_d : \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, d}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}^2$ the inclusion. Thus, for instance, for $|w| \leq d$,

$$\iota^* C_j \iota e_w = \iota^* C_j e_w = \begin{cases} e_{x_j w} & \text{if } |w| < d \\ 0 & \text{if } |w| = d. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, if $|v| \leq d$, then

$$\iota^* C_j^* \iota e_v = \iota^* C_j^* e_v = \begin{cases} e_u & \text{if } v = x_j u \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be defined as

$$A_j = \iota^*(C_j + C_j^*)\iota. \quad (2.5)$$

Because

$$L(tA) = I + t \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} A_j \otimes A_j \geq \frac{1}{2}I$$

for all sufficiently small $t > 0$, a rescaling of the variables $x \mapsto tx$ allows us to assume, without loss of generality, that

$$L(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}I. \quad (2.6)$$

To streamline the exposition, we suppress the corresponding scaling factors in the sequel.

Lemma 2.4 ([JKM26, Lemma 3.2]). *The $N(d) \times N(d)$ scalar matrix \mathbb{E}_d with transpose*

$$\mathbb{E}_d^{\top} = [\langle A^w \Omega, e_v \rangle]_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_d}$$

is invertible.

2.2.2. Extraction formula for coefficients. Let $q = \sum Q_w w \in \mathcal{A}_d$, and let Q be the coefficient row vector of q . For $v \in \langle x \rangle_d$ define the linear functional

$$\Omega_v : B(\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, d}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad \Omega_v(T) = \langle T\Omega, e_v \rangle.$$

The operator coefficients Q_v are obtained from $q(A)$ by solving the linear system

$$\begin{aligned} Z_v(q) &:= (\text{id}_{B(\mathcal{H})} \otimes \Omega_v)q(A) = \sum_w Q_w \otimes \Omega_v(A^w) \\ &= \sum_w \langle A^w \Omega, e_v \rangle Q_w = \sum_w [\mathbb{E}_d^{\top}]_{v, w} Q_w, \end{aligned}$$

where $[\mathbb{E}_d^\top]_{v,w}$ is the (v, w) entry of the matrix \mathbb{E}_d^\top . In short,

$$Z(q) = Q\mathbb{E}_d, \quad (2.7)$$

where $Z(q)$ and Q are row vectors with $Z_v(q)$ and Q_v as the v^{th} entry of Z and Q , respectively. Since, by Lemma 2.4, \mathbb{E}_d is invertible,

$$Q = Z(q)\mathbb{E}_d^{-1}. \quad (2.8)$$

We refer to \mathbb{E} as the *extraction matrix*, and equation (2.8) as the *extraction formula* for the coefficients of q . Note that the extraction formula depends only upon $q(A)$; that is, the coefficients of q are determined uniquely by $q(A)$.

It follows from equation (2.8) that there exists a positive constant λ_d (independent of q) such that

$$\|Q_w\| \leq \lambda_d \|q(A)\| \quad \text{for all } w \in \langle x \rangle_d. \quad (2.9)$$

Recall the Veronese column vector V_μ from the outset of Subsection 2.1. Given a $p \in \Sigma_d$, set

$$\Gamma_p = \{G \in B(\mathcal{H})^{N(d) \times N(d)} : G \geq 0, \quad V_d^* G V_d = p\}. \quad (2.10)$$

Proposition 2.5 ([JKM26, Proposition 3.3]). *For $p \in \Sigma_d$ the set Γ_p is non-empty and norm bounded (with respect to the operator norm on $B(\mathcal{H}^{N(d)})$). More precisely, there exists a constant μ_d (depending only on d and \mathfrak{g} and not on p) such that, for all $G \in \Gamma_p$,*

$$\|G\| \leq \mu_d \|p(A)\|.$$

3. TOPOLOGIES ON \mathcal{A}_d

In this section we introduce two topologies on \mathcal{A}_d used in the sequel and prove that the cone of weighted squares $\tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}$ is closed in the product ultraweak topology, defined immediately below.

Identify each polynomial $p = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_d} P_w w \in \mathcal{A}_d$ with its coefficient tuple $(P_w)_{w \in \langle x \rangle_d} \in B(\mathcal{H})^{\langle x \rangle_d}$. We equip \mathcal{A}_d with the *product weak operator topology (WOT)* and the *product ultraweak topology* inherited from $B(\mathcal{H})^{\langle x \rangle_d}$. Thus a net of polynomials

$$p_\alpha = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_d} P_{\alpha,w} w$$

converges to a polynomial $p = \sum_w P_w w$ if and only if $P_{\alpha,w} \rightarrow P_w$ for each $w \in \langle x \rangle_d$ in the WOT, respectively in the ultraweak topology. In either case, \mathcal{A}_d is a locally convex topological vector space.

3.1. Point-WOT. To pass to limits of completely positive maps, we use the following topology. Let \mathfrak{E} be a closed subspace of a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{K} a Hilbert space. A net $(\pi_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $B(\mathfrak{E}, B(\mathcal{K}))$ converges to π in the *point-WOT topology* if $\pi_\alpha(a) \rightarrow \pi(a)$ in the WOT for all $a \in \mathfrak{E}$. Complete positivity is preserved under point-WOT limits. The closed unit ball of $B(\mathfrak{E}, B(\mathcal{K}))$ is compact Hausdorff in the point-WOT; see [Dav25, Definition 14.7.6].

3.2. Closedness of the cone $\tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}$. Recall that A has been scaled so that $L(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}$; see (2.6).

Let $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the trace-class operators on \mathcal{H} . The space $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})^{\langle x \rangle_d}$, equipped with the norm

$$\|(T_w)_w\|_1 = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_d} \|T_w\|_1,$$

is a Banach space. Its dual is $B(\mathcal{H})^{\langle x \rangle_d}$ with norm

$$\|(B_w)_w\| = \max_{w \in \langle x \rangle_d} \|B_w\|.$$

Under this identification, the weak-* topology induced by $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})^{\langle x \rangle_d}$ coincides with the product ultraweak topology. Accordingly, for

$$p = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_d} P_w w \in \mathcal{A}_d,$$

we define

$$\|p\| := \max_{w \in \langle x \rangle_d} \|P_w\|.$$

This norm is relevant only for this subsection.

Proposition 3.1. *For any $t > 0$, the set*

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d,t} := \{p \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d} : \|p\| \leq t\}$$

is closed in the product ultraweak topology on \mathcal{A}_d . The same holds with $\tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d,t}$ replaced by $\tilde{\Sigma}_{d,t}$.

The proof uses the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathcal{H} be a fixed separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. If \mathcal{S} is a finite-dimensional unital operator system, \mathcal{E} is a separable (infinite-dimensional) Hilbert space and $\psi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ is completely positive (cp), then there is a ucp map $\pi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ and a bounded operator $V : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$\psi(X) = V^* \pi(X) V$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, there exists a separable (infinite-dimensional) Hilbert space \mathcal{F} , a *-representation $\tau : C^*(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{F})$, and a bounded operator $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that $\psi(X) = T^* \tau(X) T$. Since \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{F} are both separable and infinite dimensional, there is a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. The map $\pi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$\pi(X) = U \tau(X) U^*$$

is cp. Setting $V = UT$ gives,

$$\psi(X) = T^* \tau(X) T = T^* U^* \pi(X) U T = V^* \pi(X) V. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{S} = \text{span}\{I, B_1, \dots, B_h\}$ be a finite-dimensional operator system with B_j self-adjoint and $h \geq g$. Let $(\Lambda_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a net of monic linear pencils*

$$\Lambda_\alpha(x) = I + \sum_{j=1}^g D_{\alpha,j} x_j,$$

such that $\Lambda_\alpha(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $D_{\alpha,j} \in \text{span}\{B_1, \dots, B_h\}$. Suppose $D_{\alpha,j} \rightarrow D_j$ in norm for each j , and set

$$\Lambda(x) = I + \sum_{j=1}^g D_j x_j.$$

Let $r_\alpha \in \Sigma_{d+1}$, $q_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_d$, and $\pi_\alpha \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}, B(\mathcal{H}))$, and define

$$p_\alpha = r_\alpha + q_\alpha^* \pi_\alpha(\Lambda_\alpha) q_\alpha.$$

If there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that $\|p_\alpha\| \leq \kappa$ for all α and $(p_\alpha)_\alpha$ converges to p in the product ultraweak topology, then there exist $r \in \Sigma_{d+1}$, $q \in \mathcal{A}_d$, and $\pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}, B(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$p = r + q^* \pi(\Lambda) q.$$

The same conclusion holds with Σ_{d+1} replaced by Σ_d .

Proof. Recall the tuple A from equation (2.5) derived from the creation operators and scaled so that $L(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Choose $K \geq \kappa \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{2d+2}} \|A^w\|$ and note,

$$\|p_\alpha(A)\| \leq \|p_\alpha\| \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{2d+2}} \|A^w\| \leq K.$$

Since $r_\alpha \in \Sigma_{d+1}$ and $\pi_\alpha(\Lambda_\alpha)(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$0 \leq r_\alpha(A), \quad \frac{1}{2} q_\alpha^* q_\alpha(A) \leq p_\alpha(A),$$

and hence $\|r_\alpha(A)\|, \|q_\alpha(A)\| \leq K$.

Let $G_\alpha \in \Gamma_{r_\alpha}$. By Proposition 2.5, the family $(G_\alpha)_\alpha$ is uniformly bounded. By Banach–Alaoglu, there exists a subnet $(G_\beta)_\beta$ converging ultraweakly to some $G \geq 0$. Set $r = V_{d+1}^* G V_{d+1}$, where V_{d+1} is the Veronese column vector from Subsection 2.1. Using (2.8), evaluation at A determines coefficients, hence $r_\beta \rightarrow r$ in the product ultraweak topology.

Let Q_β denote the coefficient row vector of q_β so that $q_\beta = Q_\beta V_d$. The maps

$$\psi_\beta : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}^{N(d)}); \quad X \mapsto Q_\beta^* \pi_\beta(X) Q_\beta$$

are cp. By equations (2.9) and the uniform bound on $\|q_\alpha(A)\|$,

$$\sup_\beta \|Q_\beta\| < \infty.$$

Thus the net of cp maps $(\psi_\beta)_\beta$ is uniformly bounded in the operator norm. Therefore, there exists a subnet $(\psi_\gamma)_\gamma$ of $(\psi_\beta)_\beta$ that converges to some cp map say $\psi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}^{N(d)})$ in the point-WOT. By Lemma 3.2, there exists a ucp map $\pi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ and a bounded operator $Q : \mathcal{H}^{N(d)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\psi(X) = Q^* \pi(X) Q.$$

Since $(\psi_\gamma)_\gamma$ converges to ψ in the point-WOT, the net $\psi_\gamma(I_{\mathcal{K}}) = Q_\gamma^* Q_\gamma$ converges to $\psi(I_{\mathcal{K}}) = Q^* Q$ in the WOT. Likewise, since also the nets $(D_{\gamma,j})_\gamma$ norm converge to D_j and the maps ψ_γ are uniformly norm bounded, the net $\psi_\gamma(D_{\gamma,j})$ converges to $\psi(D_j)$ in the WOT for each j . Hence, from the identity,

$$\begin{aligned} q_\gamma^* \pi_\gamma(\Lambda_\gamma) q_\gamma &= V_d^* Q_\gamma^* \left(I_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{j=1}^g \pi_\gamma(D_{\gamma,j}) x_j \right) Q_\gamma V_d \\ &= V_d^* Q_\gamma^* Q_\gamma V_d + V_d^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^g \psi_\gamma(D_{\gamma,j}) x_j \right) V_d, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $q_\gamma^* \pi_\gamma(\Lambda_\gamma) q_\gamma$ converges in the product WOT on \mathcal{A}_d to

$$\begin{aligned} V_d^* Q^* Q V_d + V_d^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^g \psi(D_j) x_j \right) V_d &= V_d^* Q^* Q V_d + V_d^* Q^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^g \pi(D_j) x_j \right) Q V_d \\ &= V_d^* Q^* \pi(\Lambda) Q V_d = q^* \pi(\Lambda) q, \end{aligned}$$

where $q = Q V_d \in \mathcal{A}_d$. Hence $p_\gamma = r_\gamma + q_\gamma^* \pi_\gamma(\Lambda_\gamma) q_\gamma$ converges to $r + q^* \pi(\Lambda) q \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}$ in the product WOT on \mathcal{A}_d . Since, by assumption, (p_α) also converges in the product ultraweak topology to p , it follows that $p = r + q^* \pi(\Lambda) q$ as desired. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $p_\alpha \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}+1, \mathfrak{d}, t}$ converge ultraweakly to p . Since $\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{d}$ is a dual space, the norm is weak-* lower semicontinuous, hence $\|p\| \leq t$. It remains to show $p \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}+1, \mathfrak{d}}$.

Write $p_\alpha = r_\alpha + q_\alpha^* \pi_\alpha(L) q_\alpha$ for some $r_\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}+1}$, $q_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{d}$, and $\pi_\alpha \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))$. Choosing, in Lemma 3.3, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_L$, each $\Lambda_\alpha = L$ and each $D_{\alpha, j} = \mathbb{A}_j$, the net (p_α) satisfies the hypotheses of that lemma with $\Lambda = L$. Hence, there exists $r \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}+1}$, $q \in \mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{d}$ and $\pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$p = r + q^* \pi(L) q \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}+1, \mathfrak{d}}. \quad \square$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{2\mathfrak{d}+1}$ is closed in $\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{d}$ in the product ultraweak topology, the result for $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, t}$ follows by noting that

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, t} = \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}+1, \mathfrak{d}, t} \cap \mathcal{A}_{2\mathfrak{d}+1},$$

see Lemma 5.2.

Proposition 3.4. *The cone $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}+1, \mathfrak{d}}$ is closed in the product ultraweak topology on $\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{d}$. The same holds for $\tilde{\Sigma}_\mathfrak{d}$.*

Proof. Combine Proposition 3.1 and the Krein–Smulian theorem [Dav25, Theorem 3.6.2]. \square

4. GNS CONSTRUCTION

This section is devoted to the proof of the GNS-inspired result Theorem 4.1 below.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose \mathcal{D}_L is bounded. If $\varphi : \mathcal{A}_{2\mathfrak{d}+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous (in the product ultraweak topology) linear functional such that, for all $q \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}+1, \mathfrak{d}}$,*

$$\varphi(q) \geq 0,$$

then there exist a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{E} , a \mathfrak{g} -tuple $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_\mathfrak{g})$ of bounded self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{E} , and a vector $\gamma \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ such that $L(Y) \geq 0$, and

$$\varphi(q^* r) = \langle r(Y)\gamma, q(Y)\gamma \rangle_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}} \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{d}+1} \text{ and } q \in \mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{d}. \quad (4.1)$$

Therefore, for all $p \in \mathcal{A}_{2\mathfrak{d}+1}$,

$$\varphi(p) = \langle p(Y)\gamma, \gamma \rangle.$$

Remark 4.2. While the construction of the auxiliary Hilbert spaces and coordinate maps in Subsections 4.1 and 4.2 proceeds without topological assumptions on \mathcal{D}_L , the boundedness of \mathcal{D}_L is strictly necessary to ensure the boundedness of the left-multiplication operators Y_j defined in Subsection 4.3. More precisely, this assumption is used in the Subsubsection 4.3.1.

We begin with a brief outline of the argument. We first encode the linear functional φ by an $N(\mathfrak{d}+1) \times N(\mathfrak{d}+1)$ positive trace-class block matrix $S = [S_{u,v}]_{u,v \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}}$, which induces a positive sesquilinear form on the vector space $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}} \mathcal{H}$. Passing to the quotient by the null space and completing, we obtain an auxiliary Hilbert space \mathcal{M} .

For each word $w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}$, we consider the ‘‘coordinate’’ map $\Phi(w) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ that places a vector first in the w -th component in \mathbf{V} followed by the canonical projection $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is then obtained as the closure of the subspace generated by the ranges of the coordinate maps corresponding to words of degree at most \mathfrak{d} . We then define operators $Y_1, \dots, Y_\mathfrak{g}$ on \mathcal{E} via left-multiplication maps. Using the positivity of φ on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}+1, \mathfrak{d}}$, we show that each Y_j is well-defined, bounded, and self-adjoint.

Next, using the coordinate map corresponding to the empty word, we construct a vector $\gamma \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ that satisfies (4.1). Moreover, the set $\{q(Y)\gamma : q \in \mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{d}\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}$. Finally, using this density together with the assumption that φ is nonnegative on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}+1, \mathfrak{d}}$, we show, by testing against ucp maps, that $L(Y) \geq 0$.

We now carry out this construction in detail. The proof proceeds in five steps.

4.1. The positive block matrix S and an auxiliary Hilbert space \mathcal{M} . We begin by encoding the functional φ into a positive block operator matrix S and using S to construct a Hilbert space.

Since φ is ultraweak continuous, there exist trace class operators S_w ($w \in \langle x \rangle_{2\mathfrak{d}+2}$) in $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\varphi(p) = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{2\mathfrak{d}+2}} \text{Tr}(S_w P_w),$$

where $p = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{2\mathfrak{d}+2}} P_w w$. Denote by S the $N(\mathfrak{d}+1) \times N(\mathfrak{d}+1)$ block matrix whose (v, w) entry is $S_w^* v$. For $r = \sum_{v \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}} R_v v$ and $r' = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}} R'_w w$, we have

$$\varphi(r^* r') = \sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}} \text{Tr}(S_{v^* w} R_v^* R'_w). \quad (4.2)$$

Letting R denote the row operator $R : \mathcal{H}^{N(\mathfrak{d}+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, with R_u as the u -th element, gives

$$\varphi(r^* r) = \sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}} \text{Tr}(S_{v^* w} R_v^* R_w) = \sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}} \text{Tr}([S]_{w,v} [R^* R]_{v,w}) = \text{Tr}(S R^* R).$$

Given a psd operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H}^{N(\mathfrak{d}+1)})$, factor $T = R^* R$ for some $R : \mathcal{H}^{N(\mathfrak{d}+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ (using \mathcal{H} is infinite-dimensional), let $r = \sum R_u u$, where R_u is the u -th element of the row operator R and note

$$\text{Tr}(S T) = \text{Tr}(S R^* R) = \varphi(r^* r) \geq 0.$$

It follows that $S \geq 0$.

We now use the operator S to define a Hilbert space via a GNS-type construction. Consider the vector space

$$\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}} \mathcal{H}.$$

Equip \mathbf{V} with the sesquilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\xi_w)_w, (\eta_v)_v \rangle_{\mathbf{V}} &:= \langle S(\xi_w)_w, (\eta_v)_v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{N(\mathfrak{d}+1)}} = \sum_{v \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}} \left\langle \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}} [S]_{v,w} \xi_w, \eta_v \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}} \langle S_{w^* v} \xi_w, \eta_v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}} \langle \xi_w, S_{v^* w} \eta_v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

This form is psd by the positivity of S . Let

$$\mathcal{N} = \{z \in \mathbf{V} : \langle z, z \rangle_{\mathbf{V}} = 0\}$$

denote its subspace of null vectors, and let \mathcal{M} denote the Hilbert space obtained by the completion of the quotient space \mathbf{V}/\mathcal{N} . Clearly, \mathcal{M} is separable. Let $\rho : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ denote the quotient map (followed by the inclusion of \mathbf{V}/\mathcal{N} into \mathcal{M}).

4.2. The coordinate maps $\Phi(w)$ and the Hilbert space \mathcal{E} . We now introduce coordinate maps that allow us to identify coefficient vectors inside the Hilbert space \mathcal{M} and use them to construct the Hilbert space \mathcal{E} as a subspace of \mathcal{M} .

For each word $w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}$, define a linear map

$$\Phi(w) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}; \quad \xi \mapsto [(\delta_{v,w} \xi)_{v \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}}],$$

where $\delta_{v,w}$ denotes the Kronecker delta. Thus, for all $v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}+1}$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\langle \Phi(w) \xi, \Phi(v) \eta \rangle_{\mathbf{V}} = \langle \xi, S_{v^* w} \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (4.3)$$

For later use, observe, identifying $\Phi(w)$ with $\rho \circ \Phi(w)$, equation (4.3) gives $\Phi(w)^* \Phi(w) = S_{w^*w}$. Since S_{w^*w} is trace class, each $\Phi(w)$ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator and moreover,

$$\Phi(w)^* \Phi(v) = S_{v^*w}. \quad (4.4)$$

We define the Hilbert space \mathcal{E} as

$$\mathcal{E} := \overline{\text{span}}\{(\rho \circ \Phi(w))(h) : |w| \leq \mathfrak{d}, h \in \mathcal{H}\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}.$$

The Hilbert space \mathcal{E} will serve as the space on which the operators $Y_1, \dots, Y_{\mathfrak{g}}$ act.

4.3. The operator tuple Y . We now define operators corresponding to the noncommuting variables and show that they act as bounded self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{E} .

We consider a subspace of the vector space \mathbf{V} . Let

$$\mathcal{D} := \text{span}\{\Phi(w)\xi : w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}, \xi \in \mathcal{H}\} \subset \mathbf{V}.$$

Note that the Hilbert space \mathcal{E} is the closure of $\rho(\mathcal{D})$ in \mathcal{M} . For each $j = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}$, define a linear map $\Delta_j : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ by

$$\Delta_j(\Phi(w)\xi) = \Phi(x_j w)\xi.$$

We first show that Δ_j behaves well with the null vectors in $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{N}$. Suppose $f = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}} \Phi(w)\xi_w \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{N}$. Given $v \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ an application of equation (4.4) gives,

$$\langle \Delta_j(f), \Phi(v)\eta \rangle_{\mathbf{V}} = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}} \langle \xi_w, S_{v^*x_j w} \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}} \langle \xi_w, S_{(x_j v)^* w} \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f, \Phi(x_j v)\eta \rangle_{\mathbf{V}} = 0.$$

It follows that $\langle \Delta_j f, g \rangle_{\mathbf{V}} = 0$ for $f \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{N}$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}$.

Let $P_{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the projection of \mathcal{M} onto \mathcal{E} . The computation above says that if $f \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{N}$, then $P_{\mathcal{E}}\rho(\Delta_j(f)) = 0$. Hence, for each $j = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}$, we obtain a linear map $\hat{\Delta}_j : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ defined by

$$\hat{\Delta}_j f = P_{\mathcal{E}}\rho(\Delta_j f) \quad (4.5)$$

that maps $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{N}$ to 0. Finally, for each $j = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}$, we define a linear map $Y_j : \mathcal{D}/(\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ by

$$Y_j \rho(f) = P_{\mathcal{E}}\rho(\Delta_j(f)),$$

for $f \in \mathcal{D}$.

4.3.1. The Y_j are bounded. The boundedness of the Y_j rests on the following fact.

Lemma 4.3. *If \mathcal{D}_L is bounded, then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $c \pm x_j \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ for any $\mathfrak{d} \geq 0$. Moreover, the constant c does not depend on \mathfrak{d} .*

Proof. Since \mathcal{D}_L is bounded, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\sup_{X \in \mathcal{D}_L} \|X_j\| \leq c$ for all $j = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}$. Fix $j \in \{1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}\}$. Let

$$L_j(x) = I_{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{1}{c}x_j.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_L \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{L_j}$, an application of [DDSS17, Theorem 5.13] (see also [Zal17, Theorem 1.1]), produces a ucp map $\pi_j : \mathcal{S}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{L_j} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\pi_j(\mathbb{A}_i) = -\delta_{i,j} \frac{1}{c} I_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta. It follows that $\pi_j(L) = I - \frac{1}{c}x_j \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ as desired. \square

We now show that Y_j is bounded. For $f \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$\langle Y_j \rho(f), \rho(f) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \leq c \langle \rho(f), \rho(f) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}},$$

with c as in Lemma 4.3. Indeed, for $f = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \Phi(w) \xi_w \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle Y_j \rho \left(\sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \Phi(w) \xi_w \right), \rho \left(\sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \Phi(w) \xi_w \right) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} &= \sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \langle \rho(\Phi(x_j w) \xi_w), \rho(\Phi(v) \xi_v) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &= \sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \langle \Phi(x_j w) \xi_w, \Phi(v) \xi_v \rangle_{\mathbf{V}} = \sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \langle \xi_w, S_{w^* x_j v} \xi_v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \langle S_{w^* x_j v} \xi_w, \xi_v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \text{Tr} (S_{w^* x_j v} \xi_w \xi_v^*) \\ &= \sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \varphi (\xi_w \xi_v^* w^* x_j v) = \varphi \left(\sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \xi_w \xi_v^* w^* x_j v \right) \\ &= \varphi \left(\sum_{v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} R_w^* R_v w^* x_j v \right) = \varphi (r^* x_j r), \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} R_w w$, and R_w is the rank-one operator that maps $h \in \mathcal{H}$ to $\langle h, \xi_w \rangle e$ for some fixed unit vector e in \mathcal{H} . An application of Lemma 4.3 (with $c - x_j \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{a}}$) gives,

$$\left\langle Y_j \rho \left(\sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \Phi(w) \xi_w \right), \rho \left(\sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \Phi(w) \xi_w \right) \right\rangle = \varphi (r^* x_j r) \leq c \varphi (r^* r).$$

Essentially the same calculation also gives,

$$\varphi (r^* r) = \left\| \rho \left(\sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}} \Phi(w) \xi_w \right) \right\|^2.$$

Hence $\langle Y_j \rho(f), \rho(f) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \leq c \langle \rho(f), \rho(f) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$. The same argument (with instead $c + x_j \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{a}}$) also shows $-c \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \langle Y_j f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$. Since $\langle Y_j f, f \rangle$ is real for all f , it follows from polarization that Y_j is self-adjoint. Because $|\langle Y_j f, f \rangle| \leq c \|f\|^2$ for all f and Y_j is self-adjoint, Y_j is bounded with $\|Y_j\| \leq c$. Finally, Y_j extends to a bounded self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{E} .

We now give the details of the polarization argument sketched above. For $f, g \in \mathcal{D}$, by the polarization identity for sesquilinear forms we get

$$\langle Y_j \rho(f), \rho(g) \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 \iota^k \langle Y_j \rho(f + \iota^k g), \rho(f + \iota^k g) \rangle.$$

Thus,

$$|\langle Y_j \rho(f), \rho(g) \rangle| \leq \frac{c}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 \|\rho(f + \iota^k g)\|^2 = c (\|\rho(f)\|^2 + \|\rho(g)\|^2).$$

We claim that $|\langle Y_j \rho(f), \rho(g) \rangle| \leq 2c \|\rho(f)\| \|\rho(g)\|$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{D}$. If $\rho(f) = 0$, then this is trivially true. Assume $\rho(f) \neq 0$. For any real number $t > 0$, we have

$$|\langle Y_j \rho(f), \frac{1}{t} \rho(g) \rangle| \leq c (\|\rho(f)\|^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} \|\rho(g)\|^2).$$

This implies that

$$|\langle Y_j \rho(f), \rho(g) \rangle| \leq c (t \|\rho(f)\|^2 + \frac{1}{t} \|\rho(g)\|^2)$$

for all $t > 0$. By the AM-GM inequality, we get that

$$t\|\rho(f)\|^2 + \frac{1}{t}\|\rho(g)\|^2 \leq 2\|\rho(f)\|\|\rho(g)\|,$$

where equality holds when $t = \|\rho(g)\|/\|\rho(f)\|$. Finally, we get that

$$|\langle Y_j \rho(f), \rho(g) \rangle| \leq 2c\|\rho(f)\|\|\rho(g)\|$$

for all $f, g \in \mathcal{D}$. This proves that Y_j are bounded on $\mathcal{D}/(\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{D})$, and hence, can be extended to bounded operators on \mathcal{E} .

4.3.2. The Y_j are self-adjoint. If $v, w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y_j \rho(\Phi(w)\xi), \rho(\Phi(v)\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} &= \langle \rho(\Delta_{x_j} \Phi(w)\xi), P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho(\Phi(v)\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} = \langle \Phi(x_j w)\xi, \Phi(v)\eta \rangle_{\mathbf{V}} \\ &= \langle \xi, S_{v^* x_j w} \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \xi, S_{(x_j v)^* w} \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \Phi(w)\xi, \Delta_{x_j} \Phi(v)\eta \rangle_{\mathbf{V}} \\ &= \langle Y_j^* \rho(\Phi(w)\xi), \rho(\Phi(v)\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that Y_j are self-adjoint.

4.4. The representing vector and evaluation. We now construct a vector $\gamma \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ that realizes the functional φ .

Let $HS(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{E})$ denote the Hilbert-Schmidt operators from the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} to the Hilbert space \mathcal{E} . For a fixed orthonormal basis $(e_n)_n$ of \mathcal{H} , the *vectorization map*, $\text{vec} : HS(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ is defined, for $T \in HS(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{E})$, by

$$\text{vec}(T) = \sum_n e_n \otimes T e_n.$$

We define

$$\gamma := \text{vec}(P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(\emptyset)) = \sum_n e_n \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho(\Phi(\emptyset) e_n) \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E},$$

where, as usual, \emptyset is the empty word. Consider a word $w = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}$ with $k \leq \mathbf{d} + 1$. We claim that

$$Y^w P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(\emptyset) = P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(w).$$

Indeed, for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, a word $|v| \leq \mathbf{d}$, and $1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{g}$,

$$Y_j P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho(\Phi(v)\xi) = Y_j \rho(\Phi(v)\xi) = P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho(\Delta_{x_j} \Phi(v)\xi) = P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho(\Phi(x_j v)\xi),$$

since $\rho(\Phi(v)\xi) \in \mathcal{E}$. Hence, a finite induction argument gives,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^w P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho(\Phi(\emptyset)\xi) &= Y_{i_1} \cdots Y_{i_k} \rho(\Phi(\emptyset)\xi) \\ &= Y_{i_1} \cdots Y_{i_{k-1}} P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho(\Delta_{x_k} \Phi(\emptyset)\xi) \\ &= Y_{i_1} \cdots Y_{i_{k-1}} \rho(\Phi(x_k)\xi) \\ &= Y_{i_1} \rho(\Phi(x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k})\xi) \\ &= P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho(\Phi(w)\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any word $w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathbf{d}+1}$, we have

$$(I_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes Y^w) \gamma = (I_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes Y^w) \left(\sum_n e_n \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho(\Phi(\emptyset) e_n) \right) = \sum_n e_n \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho(\Phi(w) e_n) = \text{vec}(P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(w)). \quad (4.6)$$

Let $r = \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathbf{d}+1}} R_w w$ and $q = \sum_{v \in \langle x \rangle_{\mathbf{d}}} Q_v v$. From the standard vectorization identity

$$\langle (T \otimes I) \text{vec}(A), (R \otimes I) \text{vec}(B) \rangle = \text{Tr}(T A^* B R^*),$$

and using (4.3) and (4.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle r(Y)\gamma, q(Y)\gamma \rangle &= \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{d+1}} \sum_{v \in \langle x \rangle_d} \langle (R_w \otimes I) \text{vec}(P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(w)), (Q_v \otimes I) \text{vec}(P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(v)) \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{d+1}} \sum_{v \in \langle x \rangle_d} \text{Tr}(R_w (\rho \Phi(w))^* P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(v) Q_v^*) \\
 &= \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{d+1}} \sum_{v \in \langle x \rangle_d} \sum_n \langle P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(v) Q_v^* e_n, \rho \Phi(w) R_w^* e_n \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{d+1}} \sum_{v \in \langle x \rangle_d} \sum_n \langle Q_v^* e_n, S_{w^*v} R_w^* e_n \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now rewrite the last expression in terms of trace and use the definition of the block matrix S to recover the linear functional:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle r(Y)\gamma, q(Y)\gamma \rangle &= \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{d+1}} \sum_{v \in \langle x \rangle_d} \sum_n \langle R_w S_{v^*w} Q_v^* e_n, e_n \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{d+1}} \sum_{v \in \langle x \rangle_d} \text{Tr}(R_w S_{v^*w} Q_v^*) \\
 &= \sum_{w \in \langle x \rangle_{d+1}} \sum_{v \in \langle x \rangle_d} \text{Tr}(S_{v^*w} Q_v^* R_w).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (4.2), the right-hand side equals $\varphi(q^*r)$, and hence

$$\varphi(q^*r) = \langle r(Y)\gamma, q(Y)\gamma \rangle,$$

for all $r \in \mathcal{A}_{d+1}$ and $q \in \mathcal{A}_d$.

4.5. Positivity of $L(Y)$. First we show that the subspace

$$\{q(Y)\gamma : q \in \mathcal{A}_d\} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}$$

is dense in $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}$.

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a word $w \in \langle x \rangle_d$, and a vector $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $q \in \mathcal{A}_d$ denote the polynomial

$$q = e_k h^* w.$$

Using (4.6) in the second equality, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(Y)\gamma &= (e_k h^* \otimes I) ((I \otimes Y^w) \gamma) \\
 &= (e_k h^* \otimes I) \text{vec}(P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(w)) \\
 &= \text{vec}(P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(w) h e_k^*) \\
 &= \sum_n e_n \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(w) h e_k^* e_n \\
 &= e_k \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(w) h.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since vectors of the form $P_{\mathcal{E}} \rho \Phi(w) h$ are dense in \mathcal{E} , and the vectors (e_k) span \mathcal{H} , it follows that

$$\{q(Y)\gamma : q \in \mathcal{A}_d\}$$

is dense in $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}$.

We now prove that $L(Y) \geq 0$. By assumption, the linear functional φ satisfies

$$\varphi(q^* \pi(L) q) \geq 0$$

for all $q \in \mathcal{A}_d$ and $\pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))$. Fix such a π . Expanding $\pi(L)$ and using linearity of φ , we obtain

$$\varphi(q^* \pi(L) q) = \varphi(q^* q) + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} \varphi(q^* \pi(\mathbb{A}_j) x_j q) \geq 0, \quad q \in \mathcal{A}_d. \quad (4.7)$$

We now apply the representation formula from Subsection 4.4. For the first term,

$$\varphi(q^* q) = \langle q(Y)\gamma, q(Y)\gamma \rangle_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}}.$$

For each $j = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}$, define $q_j := (\pi(\mathbb{A}_j) x_j) q \in \mathcal{A}_{d+1}$. Then

$$\varphi(q^* \pi(\mathbb{A}_j) x_j q) = \varphi(q_j^* q_j) = \langle q_j(Y)\gamma, q_j(Y)\gamma \rangle_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}} = \langle (\pi(\mathbb{A}_j) \otimes Y_j) q(Y)\gamma, q(Y)\gamma \rangle_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}}.$$

Substituting into (4.7), we obtain

$$\langle q(Y)\gamma, q(Y)\gamma \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} \langle (\pi(\mathbb{A}_j) \otimes Y_j) q(Y)\gamma, q(Y)\gamma \rangle \geq 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$\left\langle \left(I_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} (\pi(\mathbb{A}_j) \otimes Y_j) \right) q(Y)\gamma, q(Y)\gamma \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}} \geq 0.$$

Since

$$I_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} (\pi(\mathbb{A}_j) \otimes Y_j) = (\pi \otimes \text{id})(L(Y)),$$

this shows that

$$\langle (\pi \otimes \text{id})(L(Y)) \zeta, \zeta \rangle \geq 0,$$

for all ζ of the form $\zeta = q(Y)\gamma$. Since the subspace $\{q(Y)\gamma : q \in \mathcal{A}_d\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}$, it follows that $(\pi \otimes \text{id})(L(Y)) \geq 0$. As $\pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))$ was arbitrary, $L(Y) \geq 0$. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM A

Since L is a monic pencil, \mathcal{D}_L has nonempty interior, i.e., it contains a neighborhood of 0. Thus the positivity of p on \mathcal{D}_L implies that p is self-adjoint. Thus, Theorem A can be reformulated as the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose $p \in \mathcal{A}_{2d+1}$ is self-adjoint. If $p \notin \tilde{\Sigma}_d$, then there exist a finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{E}_n , a self-adjoint \mathfrak{g} -tuple $X = (X_1, \dots, X_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on \mathcal{E}_n , and a vector $\gamma_n \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}_n$ such that*

$$L(X) \geq 0, \quad \langle p(X)\gamma_n, \gamma_n \rangle < 0.$$

The proof of Proposition 5.1 proceeds in two stages. First, we reduce to a restricted setting. We then prove the proposition under this restriction.

5.1. Reductions. We begin with a simple degree reduction.

Lemma 5.2. *If $p \in \mathcal{A}_{2d+1}$ and $p \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}$, then $p \in \tilde{\Sigma}_d$.*

Proof. Since $p \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}$, we can write

$$p = r^* r + q^* \pi(L) q$$

for some $r \in \mathcal{A}_{d+1}$ and $q \in \mathcal{A}_d$. The term $q^* \pi(L) q$ has degree at most $2d + 1$, and hence cannot cancel any degree $2d + 2$ contribution coming from $r^* r$, since p has degree at most $2d + 1$. It follows that $r \in \mathcal{A}_d$ and thus $p \in \tilde{\Sigma}_d$. \square

We now show that if Proposition 5.1 holds under the additional assumption that \mathcal{D}_L is bounded, then it also holds when \mathcal{D}_L is unbounded.

Let $S = (S_1, \dots, S_{\mathbf{g}})$ denote the \mathbf{g} -tuple of $2\mathbf{g} \times 2\mathbf{g}$ self-adjoint matrices where the $(2j-1, 2j)$ and $(2j, 2j-1)$ entries of S_j are 1, and all other entries of S_j are 0. Let \mathcal{S} denote the operator system spanned by

$$\{I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes I_{2\mathbf{g}}, \mathbb{A}_1 \oplus 0, \dots, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{g}} \oplus 0, 0 \oplus S_1, \dots, 0 \oplus S_{\mathbf{g}}\}.$$

For positive integers n and $1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{g}$, let

$$D_{n,j} = \mathbb{A}_j \oplus \frac{1}{n} S_j \in \mathcal{S}$$

and let Λ_n denote the monic linear pencil,

$$\Lambda_n = I + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathbf{g}} D_{n,j} x_j.$$

There is an N sufficiently large so that $\Lambda_n(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $n \geq N$. From here on we consider only $n \geq N$. The sequence (Λ_n) converges coefficient-wise in the operator norm to the monic linear pencil

$$\Lambda = I + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathbf{g}} D_j x_j,$$

where $D_j = \mathbb{A}_j \oplus 0$.

Since \mathcal{S}_{Λ} and \mathcal{S}_{Λ_n} are both subsets of \mathcal{S} , and a cp map on either space with values in $B(\mathcal{H})$ extends to a cp map on \mathcal{S} ,

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, \Lambda_0} = \{r^* r + q^* \pi(\Lambda_0) q : r, q \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{d}}, \pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}, B(\mathcal{H}))\},$$

for $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda$ or Λ_n .

Lemma 5.3. *With notations as above,*

- (1) $\mathcal{D}_L = \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}$;
- (2) $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, L} = \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, \Lambda}$; and
- (3) $\bigcap_{n \geq N} \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, \Lambda_n} = \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, \Lambda}$.

Proof. Item (1) is evident from the definitions. To prove item (2), first let $q \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ and $\pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))$ be given. Define $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\tilde{\pi}(I) = I, \quad \tilde{\pi}(\mathbb{A}_j \oplus 0) = \pi(\mathbb{A}_j), \quad \tilde{\pi}(0 \oplus S_j) = 0.$$

We now show that $\tilde{\pi}$ is completely positive. An element $Y \in \mathcal{S} \otimes M_{\ell}(\mathbb{C})$ has the form

$$Y = I \otimes X_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathbf{g}} (\mathbb{A}_j \oplus 0) \otimes X_j + \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{g}} (0 \oplus S_k) \otimes X_{\mathbf{g}+k}$$

for a tuple $X = (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{2\mathbf{g}})$ of $\ell \times \ell$ matrices. If $Y \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{S} \otimes M_{\ell}(\mathbb{C})$ then, by item (1), it follows that

$$Z = I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes X_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathbf{g}} \mathbb{A}_j \otimes X_j \geq 0$$

in $\mathcal{S}_L \otimes M_{\ell}(\mathbb{C})$. Since π is ucp, we obtain

$$(\tilde{\pi} \otimes I_{\ell})(Y) = (\pi \otimes I_{\ell})(Z) \geq 0.$$

Thus $\tilde{\pi} \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}, B(\mathcal{H}))$, and

$$q^* \tilde{\pi}(\Lambda_*) q = q^* \pi(L) q,$$

where Λ_* denotes either Λ or Λ_n . Thus $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, L} \subseteq \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, \Lambda_*}$ in either case.

Next let $\pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}, B(\mathcal{H}))$ and $q \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ be given. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ denote the finite-dimensional operator system spanned by $\mathcal{S} \cup \{I \oplus 0\}$. Since π is ucp on \mathcal{S} it extends to a ucp map, still denoted π , on $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. Define $\psi : \mathcal{S}_L \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ by $\psi(I) = \pi(I \oplus 0)$ and $\psi(\mathbb{A}_j) = \pi(\mathbb{A}_j \oplus 0)$. Since π is cp, ψ is cp. Indeed, if $X = (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a tuple of $\ell \times \ell$ matrices such that

$$Z = I \otimes X_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{A}_j \otimes X_j \geq 0,$$

in $\mathcal{S}_L \otimes M_{\ell}(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$Y = (I \oplus 0) \otimes X_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} (\mathbb{A}_j \oplus 0) \otimes X_j \geq 0,$$

in $\mathcal{S} \otimes M_{\ell}(\mathbb{C})$, and thus,

$$(\psi \otimes I_{\ell})(Z) = (\pi \otimes I_{\ell})(Y) \geq 0.$$

By Lemma 3.2, there is a bounded operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and a ucp map $\tilde{\pi} \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $\psi(X) = T^* \tilde{\pi}(X) T$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} q^* \pi(\Lambda) q &= q^* \left(\pi(I \oplus 0) - \sum \pi(\mathbb{A}_j \oplus 0) x_j \right) q + q^* \pi(0 \oplus I) q \\ &= (Tq)^* \tilde{\pi}(L) (Tq) + r^* r, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = (\pi(0 \oplus I))^{\frac{1}{2}} q \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{d}}$, it follows that $q^* \pi(\Lambda) q \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, L}$. Thus $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, L} = \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, \Lambda}$, as claimed.

Note at this point it has also been demonstrated that $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, \Lambda} \subseteq \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, \Lambda_n}$ for all $n \geq N$.

To complete the proof, let $p \in \bigcap_{n \geq N} \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, \Lambda_n}$ be given. For each n there exists $r_n, q_n \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ and $\pi_n \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}, B(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $p = r_n^* r_n + q_n^* \pi_n(\Lambda_n) q_n$. Trivially the sequence $(r_n^* r_n + q_n^* \pi_n(\Lambda_n) q_n)_n$ converges to p in the product ultraweak topology. The sequence (Λ_n) converges coefficient-wise in norm to Λ . Hence, by Lemma 3.3, there exists $r, q \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ and $\pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}, B(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$p = r^* r + q^* \pi(\Lambda) q \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, \Lambda}.$$

From (1) it follows that $p \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, L}$ and the proof of item (3) and the lemma is complete. \square

Lemma 5.4. *If Proposition 5.1 holds in the case where \mathcal{D}_L is bounded, then it holds in general.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{D}_L is unbounded. Since $p \notin \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, L}$, by Lemma 5.3, there exists a natural number n_0 such that $p \notin \tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{d}, \Lambda_{n_0}}$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_{n_0}}$ is bounded, by assumption, Proposition 5.1 holds for Λ_{n_0} . Thus there exists a \mathfrak{g} -tuple of self-adjoint operators $X = (X_1, \dots, X_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on some finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{E}_n and a vector $\gamma_n \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}_n$ such that $\Lambda_{n_0}(X) \geq 0$, but

$$\langle p(X) \gamma_n, \gamma_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}_n} < 0.$$

By the definition of Λ_{n_0} and Lemma 5.3 item (1), $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_{n_0}} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{D}_L$. Thus, $L(X) \geq 0$ and the proof is complete. \square

5.2. Proof of Proposition 5.1. In this subsection, we complete a proof of Proposition 5.1.

We begin with the separation argument (that does not require \mathcal{D}_L to be bounded).

Proposition 5.5. *Let $p \in \mathcal{A}_{2d+1}$ be such that $p \notin \tilde{\Sigma}_d$. Then there exists a continuous (with respect to the product ultraweak topology) linear functional $\varphi : \mathcal{A}_{2d+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\operatorname{real}(\varphi(p)) < 0, \quad \varphi(q) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } q \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, $p \notin \tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}$. The space \mathcal{A}_{2d+2} is locally convex, and the cone $\tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}$ is closed in the product ultraweak topology (Proposition 3.4). Hence, by the Hahn–Banach separation theorem (see [Dav25, Corollary 3.3.9]), there exist a continuous linear functional φ and real numbers $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$ such that

$$\operatorname{real}(\varphi(p)) < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \operatorname{real}(\varphi(q)) \quad \text{for all } q \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}.$$

Since $\tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}$ is a cone of self-adjoint elements, it follows that

$$\operatorname{real}(\varphi(p)) < 0 \leq \varphi(q) \quad \text{for all } q \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}. \quad \square$$

We are now ready to prove the Proposition 5.1.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Taking advantage of Lemma 5.4, it suffices to prove the proposition under the additional hypothesis that \mathcal{D}_L is bounded. We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1: Separation. By Proposition 5.5, there exists a product ultraweakly continuous linear functional $\varphi : \mathcal{A}_{2d+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\operatorname{real}(\varphi(p)) < 0, \quad \varphi(q) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } q \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{d+1,d}.$$

Step 2: GNS construction. By Theorem 4.1 (it is here where boundedness of \mathcal{D}_L is used), there exist a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{E} , a bounded self-adjoint tuple $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_g)$ on \mathcal{E} , and a vector $\gamma \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$L(Y) \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(p) = \langle p(Y)\gamma, \gamma \rangle \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{A}_{2d+1}.$$

Step 3: Finite-dimensional compression. Write $\gamma = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k \otimes f_k$, where (f_k) is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{E} , and define

$$\gamma_n := \sum_{k=1}^n h_k \otimes f_k.$$

Since $\operatorname{real}(\varphi(p)) < 0$, there exists n such that

$$\langle p(Y)\gamma_n, \gamma_n \rangle < 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_n := \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ and let $P_{\mathcal{E}_n}$ denote the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{E}_n . Define

$$X_j := P_{\mathcal{E}_n} Y_j|_{\mathcal{E}_n}, \quad j = 1, \dots, g.$$

Then

$$L(X) = (I_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_n}) L(Y)|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}_n} \geq 0,$$

while

$$\langle p(X)\gamma_n, \gamma_n \rangle = \langle p(Y)\gamma_n, \gamma_n \rangle < 0. \quad \square$$

As a consequence of Theorem A, we obtain a closedness property of the cone. We note for clarity that the corollary below does not require \mathcal{D}_L to be bounded.

Corollary 5.6. *The convex cone $\tilde{\Sigma}_{d,L}$ is closed in the product WOT.*

Proof. Let (p_α) be a net in \mathcal{A}_{2d+1} that converges to p in the product WOT. For each $X \in \mathcal{D}_L$, the operators $p_\alpha(X)$ are positive semidefinite and converge in WOT to $p(X)$. Since WOT convergence preserves positivity, $p(X) \geq 0$. The result now follows from Theorem A. \square

6. THE FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SETTING

This section presents the sums of squares representations obtained from Theorem A in the cases that either one (or both) of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} are finite dimensional. See Theorem 6.1. When both are finite dimensional the main result of [HKM12] is recovered; and when \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional and \mathcal{K} , the space that the coefficients of L act on, is finite dimensional, [Zal17, Theorem 1.5] is obtained. Here we add the bound $\nu^2 N(d)$ implicit there.

Theorem 6.1 uses the following conventions. In the case that \mathcal{K} is finite dimensional we let $L = \bigoplus_{k=1}^K L_k$ denote a direct sum decomposition of L . It is not assumed that L so written is fully reduced and thus K can always be taken to be 1. The corresponding Hilbert space decomposition is written as $\mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^K \mathcal{K}_k$ and the dimensions of the \mathcal{K}_k are denoted by μ_k . Hence $\mu = \sum_{k=1}^K \mu_k$ is the dimension of \mathcal{K} .

Theorem 6.1. *Let \mathcal{F} denote a separable Hilbert space. In the case \mathcal{F} is finite dimensional, let $\nu = \dim \mathcal{F}$. Suppose $p \in B(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle_{2d+1}$ and $p(X) \geq 0$ for every $X \in \mathcal{D}_L$.*

- (i) *If \mathcal{F} is finite dimensional and \mathcal{K} is infinite dimensional, then there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{E} of dimension at most $\nu^3 N(d)$, a ucp map $\pi : \mathcal{S}_L \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$, and polynomials $r, q \in B(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle_d$ such that $p = r^* r + q^* \pi(L) q$.*
- (ii) *If both \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{K} are finite dimensional, then there exist Hilbert spaces \mathcal{E}_k of dimension at most $\nu \mu_k N(d)$ and $r_{k,j}, q_{k,j} \in B(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}_k \otimes \mathcal{K}_k) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle_d$ for $1 \leq k \leq K$ and $1 \leq j \leq \nu \mu_k N(d)$ such that*

$$p = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} r_{k,j}^* r_{k,j} + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} q_{k,j}^* L_k q_{k,j},$$

where $N_k \leq \nu \mu_k^2 N(d)$. In particular, if each L_k is scalar-valued, then there are at most $\nu \mu N(d)$ many polynomials $r_{k,j}$ and at most $\nu \mu N(d)$ many $q_{k,j}$ and these polynomials can be identified with elements of $M_{1,\nu}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle_d$.

- (iii) *If \mathcal{F} is infinite dimensional and \mathcal{K} is finite dimensional, then, for a Hilbert space \mathcal{E} such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, there exist polynomials $r_k, q_k \in B(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{K}_k) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle_d$ such that*

$$p = \sum r_k^* r_k + \sum q_k^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes L_k) q_k.$$

Proof. To prove item (i), let $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}$, where $\ell^2 = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is the usual space of ℓ^2 sequences $a = (a_m)_{m=0}^\infty$. Thus \mathcal{H} is separable and infinite dimensional. Let $\iota : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ denote the isometry $\iota f = \zeta \otimes f$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}$, where $\zeta = (\zeta_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \ell^2$ is the sequence with $\zeta_0 = 1$ and $\zeta_j = 0$ for $j > 0$. Let $\hat{p} = \iota p \iota^* \in B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle_{2d+1} = \mathcal{A}_{2d+1}$. By construction, $\hat{p}(X) \geq 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{D}_L$. Thus, by Theorem A, there exists $\hat{r}, \hat{q} \in \mathcal{A}_d$ and $\pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$\hat{p} = \hat{r}^* \hat{r} + \hat{q}^* \pi(L) \hat{q}.$$

It follows that,

$$p = r^* r + q^* \pi(L) q, \tag{6.1}$$

where $r = \hat{r} \iota$ and $q = \hat{q} \iota$. In particular, the coefficients of r and q map \mathcal{F} into \mathcal{H} . The span of the ranges of the coefficients of q has dimension at most $\nu N(d)$. Since these ranges lie in $\ell^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{F} has dimension ν , there is a subspace $\mathcal{S}(q)$ of ℓ^2 of dimension at most $\nu^2 N(d)$ such that the ranges of the coefficients of q lie in $\mathcal{S}(q) \otimes \mathcal{F}$. Similarly, there is a subspace $\mathcal{S}(r)$ of ℓ^2 of dimension at most $\nu^2 N(d)$ such that the ranges of the coefficients of r lie in $\mathcal{S}(r) \otimes \mathcal{F}$. By enlarging $\mathcal{S}(q)$ and replacing r with Ur for an appropriate unitary as needed, we may (and do) assume $\mathcal{S}(r)$ is $\mathcal{S}(q)$.

Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S}(q) \otimes \mathcal{F}$ and let $V : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \ell^2 \otimes \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}$ denote the inclusion. Thus $V^* \pi(L) V : \mathcal{S}_L \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ is ucp and

$$p = r^* r + q^* V^* \pi(L) V q,$$

where, without loss of generality, $r, q \in B(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}$. Finally the dimension of \mathcal{E} is at most $\dim \mathcal{F} \dim \mathcal{S}(q) \leq \nu^3 N(\mathfrak{d})$.

Turning to item (ii), since every unital $*$ -representation of $M_\mu(\mathbb{C})$ on Hilbert space is a multiple of the identity representation, if $\pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_L, B(\mathcal{H}))$, then there is an auxiliary Hilbert \mathcal{E} , an isometry $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\mu$ and unital $*$ -representation $\psi : M_\mu(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\mu)$ such that $\psi(S) = I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes S$ and

$$\pi(S) = V^* \psi(S) V = V^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes S) V, \quad (6.2)$$

for all $S \in \mathcal{S}_L$. Thus, since the proof of item (i) was agnostic about whether \mathcal{K} is finite or infinite dimensional, in this case still with $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}$, equation (6.1) becomes,

$$p = r^* r + q^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes L) q. \quad (6.3)$$

Let r_w and q_w denote the coefficients of r and q respectively. The range of each coefficient is a subspace of $\ell^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}$ of dimension at most ν and there are at most $N(\mathfrak{d})$ of each. For $1 \leq k \leq K$ choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_{k,j} \mid 1 \leq k \leq K, 1 \leq j \leq \mu_k\}$ of \mathcal{K}_k where, for fixed k , $\{e_{k,1}, \dots, e_{k,\mu_k}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{K}_k . Let

$$\mathcal{S}_k(s) = \text{span}\{\gamma_{k,j} \in \ell^2 \mid \gamma \in \bigcup_w \text{range } s_w, (I \otimes P_k) \gamma = \sum_{j=1}^{\mu_k} \gamma_{k,j} \otimes e_{k,j}\} \subseteq \ell^2$$

for $s = r, q$, where $P_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\mu_k} e_{k,j} e_{k,j}^*$ is the projection onto \mathcal{K}_k . In particular, the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_k(s)$ is at most $\nu \mu_k N(\mathfrak{d})$ and the range of each s_w lies in $\bigoplus_k (\mathcal{S}_k(s) \otimes \mathcal{K}_k)$. By enlarging $\mathcal{S}_k(r)$ and by replacing r with $U r$ for an appropriate choice of unitary U as needed, it may be (and is) assumed that $\mathcal{S}_k(r)$ is $\mathcal{S}_k(q)$. Let $\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{S}_k(q)$.

Let $\{u_{k,1}, \dots, u_{k,\mu_k}\}$ denote an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{E}_k and set $s_{k,j} = (u_{k,j}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_k}) s$, where s is either r or q , and $I_{\mathcal{K}_k}$ is the identity on \mathcal{K}_k . With I the identity of \mathcal{K} ,

$$r^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}) r = r^* \left(\bigoplus_k (I_{\mathcal{E}_k} \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_k}) \right) r = r^* \left(\bigoplus_k \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_k} u_{k,j} u_{k,j}^* \right) \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_k} \right) \right) r = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} r_{k,j}^* r_{k,j}, \quad (6.4)$$

and similarly,

$$q^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes L) q = q^* \left(\bigoplus_k \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_k} u_{k,j} u_{k,j}^* \right) \otimes L \right) \right) q = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} q_{k,j}^* L_k q_{k,j}. \quad (6.5)$$

Combining equations (6.3), (6.4) and (6.5) completes the proof of item (ii).

To prove item (iii) modify the proof of item (ii) as follows. Let P_k denote the projection of \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{K}_k , choose $r_k = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes P_k) r$ and $q_k = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes P_k) q$ so that, for instance, $q = \bigoplus_k q_k$, and substitute into equation (6.3) using $P_k L P_k = L_k$. \square

Remark 6.2. In item (ii) let $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_k \mathcal{E}_k$. It is a simple matter to construct $r_\ell \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq \nu$ such that $\sum_\ell r_\ell^* r_\ell = \sum_{k,j} r_{k,j}^* r_{k,j}$.

Similarly in item (iii) there is an $r \in B(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}$ such that $r^* r = \sum_k r_k^* r_k$.

7. NOT NECESSARILY MONIC PENCILS AND AFFINE LINEAR CHANGE OF VARIABLE

The condition that L is a monic linear pencil can be relaxed in several different ways. Here we consider an affine change of variable tailored for use in applying Proposition 5.1 in the proof of Theorem 8.5 below, a result used in the proof of Theorem B.

Let \mathcal{L} be a given linear pencil (not necessarily monic) with self-adjoint coefficients in $B(\mathcal{K})$,

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \mathbb{A}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{A}_j x_j.$$

Suppose T is an invertible $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ real matrix and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{g}}$. The pair (T, b) gives rise to the change of variables,

$$x \mapsto y = Tx + b,$$

where $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $y_j = \sum_k T_{j,k} x_k + b_j$. Let

$$\widehat{L}(x) = \mathcal{L}(Tx + b) = \left(\mathbb{A}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} b_i \mathbb{A}_i \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{g}} T_{i,j} \mathbb{A}_i \right) x_j.$$

Thus \widehat{L} is a linear pencil. Note that if \widehat{L} is monic, then the identity is in the vector space \mathcal{S} spanned by $\{\mathbb{A}_0, \mathbb{A}_1, \dots, \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{g}}\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{\widehat{L}}$ is an operator system.

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose T is an invertible $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ matrix, $b \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and*

$$\widehat{L}(x) = \mathcal{L}(Tx + b) = I + \sum \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_j x_j$$

is a monic linear pencil, \mathcal{F} is a separable Hilbert space and $p \in B(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{2\mathfrak{d}+1}$.

If both \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{K} are infinite dimensional and $p(X) \geq 0$ for $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$, then there exists $r, q \in B(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ and a ucp map $\pi : \mathcal{S}_{\widehat{L}} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{F})$ such that

$$p = r^* r + q^* \pi(\mathcal{L}) q.$$

If either \mathcal{F} or \mathcal{K} are finite dimensional and $p(X) \geq 0$ for $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$, then the conclusions of Theorem 6.1 hold for p and \mathcal{L} .

Proof. Let $\widehat{p}(x) = p(Tx + b)$. Thus $\widehat{p} \in \mathcal{A}_{2\mathfrak{d}+1}$ and $\widehat{p}(X) \geq 0$ for $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\widehat{L}}$. If both \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{K} are infinite dimensional, then by Theorem A, there exists $\widehat{r}, \widehat{q} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ and a $\pi \in \text{UCP}(\mathcal{S}_{\widehat{L}}, B(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$\widehat{p} = \widehat{r}^* \widehat{r} + \widehat{q}^* \pi(\widehat{L}) \widehat{q}.$$

Setting $r = \widehat{r}(T^{-1}(x - b))$ and $q = \widehat{q}(T^{-1}(x - b))$ gives,

$$p = r^* r + q^* \pi(L) q.$$

If either \mathcal{F} or \mathcal{K} is finite dimensional then the same change of variable gives the desired conclusion after noting that the change of variable commutes with any choice of direct sum decomposition of \mathcal{L} . \square

7.1. A special linear pencil and an application of Proposition 7.1. This subsection presents the application of Proposition 7.1 used in the proof of Theorem 8.5, which is subsequently employed in the proof of Theorem B.

Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$ denote an $n - 1$ tuple of freely non-commuting self-adjoint variables. Let $\mathfrak{L}[n]$ denote the linear matrix polynomial (linear pencil)

$$\mathfrak{L}[n](y) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & y_{n-1} & \\ & & & 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.1)$$

For a Hilbert space \mathcal{E} , we define

$$\mathcal{D}_n(\mathcal{E}) = \left\{ E = (E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}) : E_i \in B(\mathcal{E})_{\text{sa}}, E_i \geq 0, 1 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i \right\},$$

where $B(\mathcal{E})_{\text{sa}}$ denotes the (bounded) self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{E} . The *free spectrahedron* associated to the linear pencil $\mathfrak{L}[n]$ is the sequence $\mathcal{D}_n = (\mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{C}^\ell))_\ell$. Observe that if $E \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathcal{E})$, then, setting $E_n = I - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i$, each E_i is psd and

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$$

so that (E_1, \dots, E_n) corresponds to a positive $B(\mathcal{E})$ -valued measure (*povm*) on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Fix a positive integer m and positive integers $n_1, \dots, n_m \geq 2$. Let

$$y = (y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{1,n_1-1}, y_{2,1}, \dots, y_{m,n_m-1})$$

denote freely non-commuting self-adjoint variables. For notational convenience, let

$$\mathfrak{L}_i = \mathfrak{L}[n_i], \quad \mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m n_i \mathfrak{L}_i.$$

For a Hilbert space \mathcal{E} , we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}}(\mathcal{E}) = \left\{ (E_{i,j}) : \begin{array}{l} 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n_i - 1, \\ E_{i,j} \in B(\mathcal{E})_{\text{sa}}, \quad E_{i,j} \geq 0, \quad I \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} E_{i,j} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (7.2)$$

The free spectrahedron associated to the linear pencil \mathfrak{L} takes the form $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}} = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}}(\mathbb{C}^\ell))_\ell$.

To see that there is an affine linear transformation that converts \mathfrak{L} to a monic linear pencil \widehat{L} , let I_i denote the $(n_i - 1) \times (n_i - 1)$ identity matrix and let b_i denote the vector in \mathbb{R}^{n_i-1} with entries $\frac{1}{n_i}$. Let $T_i = I_i$, $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m I_i$, and $b = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m b_i$. Thus, with $y_{i,*} = (y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,n_i-1})$,

$$n_i \mathfrak{L}_i(T_i y_{i,*} + b_i) = I_i + n_i \begin{pmatrix} y_{i,1} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & y_{i,n_i-1} & \\ & & & -\sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} y_{i,j} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\widehat{L} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m n_i \mathfrak{L}_i(T_i y_{i,*} + b_i)$ is monic.

The following result interprets Proposition 7.1 concretely for the pencil \mathfrak{L} above taking $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{i=1}^m (n_i - 1)$.

Proposition 7.2. *Let \mathcal{F} denote a separable Hilbert space. If $p \in B(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle_{2\mathfrak{d}+1}$ is self-adjoint, then $p(E) \geq 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ if and only if the following hold:*

- (i) If \mathcal{F} is infinite dimensional, then there exist $f \in B(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}$ and $f_i, f_{i,j} \in B(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}$ such that

$$p = f^* f + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} f_{i,j}^* y_{i,j} f_{i,j} + f_i^* \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} y_{i,j} \right) f_i \right].$$

- (ii) If $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{C}^\nu$ is finite-dimensional, then there exist

$$g_{k,i,j}, f_{i,k}, f_{i,j,k} \in M_{1,\nu}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}$$

such that

$$p = \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} g_{k,i,j}^* g_{k,i,j} + \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} f_{i,j,k}^* y_{i,j} f_{i,j,k} + f_{i,k}^* \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} y_{i,j} \right) f_{i,k} \right].$$

Proof. The backward implication is immediate.

To prove the forward implication, note that the pencil \mathfrak{L} has the direct sum decomposition $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \left(\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i-1} \mathfrak{L}_{i,j} \right) \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{i,n_i} \right)$ for the scalar pencils $\mathfrak{L}_{i,j}(y) = n_i y_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i < n_i$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{i,n_i}(y) = 1 - n_i \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{i,j}$. By Proposition 7.1, the conclusions of Theorem 6.1 hold giving the conclusion of item (i) or (ii) depending on whether \mathcal{F} is infinite or finite dimensional, respectively. \square

8. POSITIVSTELLENSATZ FOR THE *-ALGEBRA $\text{povm}(n)$

8.1. The *-algebra povm . Given a positive integer $n \geq 2$, this subsection describes the construction of a *-algebra $\text{povm}(n)$ naturally associated to positive operator-valued measures on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$ denote an $n - 1$ tuple of freely non-commuting self-adjoint variables and let $\mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$ denote the resulting unital free *-algebra. Let $L[n]$ denote the linear matrix polynomial (linear pencil) defined in (7.1).

Let

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{E \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{C}^\ell)} \mathbb{C}^\ell$$

and define $\Psi_n : \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle \rightarrow B(\mathcal{G}_n)$ by

$$\Psi_n(p) = \bigoplus_{E \in \mathcal{D}_n} p(E).$$

Note that Ψ_n is a *-homomorphism. Since $\mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$ has nonempty interior for every ℓ , the map Ψ_n is faithful. In particular, $\text{povm}(n)$ can be viewed as a *-subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{G}_n)$. Consequently,

$$\|p\| := \|\Psi_n(p)\| \quad (p \in \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle)$$

defines a norm on $\mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$. Equipped with this norm, $\mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$ becomes a pre- C^* -algebra, which we denote by $\text{povm}(n)$. Its completion (a C^* -algebra) is denoted by $\text{POVM}(n)$. For a more detailed discussion, we refer the reader to [Cim09, Oza13].

Each $E \in \mathcal{D}_n(\ell)$ induces a unital *-representation

$$\tau_E : \text{povm}(n) \rightarrow M_\ell(\mathbb{C}), \quad p \mapsto p(E)$$

for $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$. Since τ_E is a bounded *-homomorphism on the dense subalgebra $\mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$ of $\text{POVM}(n)$, it extends to a *-representation of $\text{POVM}(n)$.

Lemma 8.1. *If $\tau : \text{POVM}(n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ is a *-representation of $\text{POVM}(n)$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{E} , then there is a tuple $Y \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\tau = \tau_Y$.*

Proof. The set $\text{povm}(n)$ is dense in $\text{POVM}(n)$ and is generated by the tuple y . Thus, setting $Y_j = \tau(y_j)$, we have

$$\tau(p) = p(Y)$$

for every $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$. It remains to see that $Y \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathcal{E})$.

Let $f_j(y) = y_j$. Note that $\tau_E(f_j)$ is psd for each $E \in \mathcal{D}_n$. Thus so is $\Psi_n(f_j)$, which means f_j is positive (since Ψ_n is faithful) as an element of $\text{POVM}(n)$. Thus $\tau(f_j) = Y_j$ is psd. A similar argument applied to $f(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j$ shows $\Psi_n(f)$ is psd and contractive and therefore so is $\tau(f) = \sum Y_j$. Hence $Y \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathcal{E})$. \square

Lemma 8.2. *If \mathcal{E} is a Hilbert space, and $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$ is a tuple of psd operators on \mathcal{E} that satisfies the inequality,*

$$I \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Y_j,$$

then, for each positive integer d and unit vector $e \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists an ℓ , an $E \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$ and a unit vector $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$ such that

$$\|p(Y)e\| = \|p(E)\xi\|$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle_d$. In particular, $\|p(Y)\| \leq \|p\|$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$.

Proof. The set $\mathcal{F} = \{p(Y)e : \deg(p) \leq d\}$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{E} . Denote $\ell = \dim \mathcal{F}$. Since $1(Y)e = e$, we have $e \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let $V : \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ denote the inclusion map and define

$$E_j = V^* Y_j V, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and write $E = (E_1, \dots, E_{n-1})$. Since $Y_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Y_j \leq I$, the same inequalities hold after compression. Hence $E \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$. Set $\xi = e$, which is a unit vector in $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathbb{C}^\ell$.

We now show that $p(E)\xi = p(Y)e$ for every $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle_d$. By linearity it suffices to consider a word $w = y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_r}$ with $r \leq d$, in which case,

$$w(E)e = (V^* Y_{i_1} V) \cdots (V^* Y_{i_r} V)e.$$

For each $k = 0, \dots, r-1$, the vector

$$Y_{i_{k+1}} \cdots Y_{i_r} e$$

lies in \mathcal{F} , since it is of the form $q(Y)e$ for a word q of degree at most d . Hence VV^* acts as the identity on these vectors, and therefore

$$w(E)e = V^* Y_{i_1} (VV^*) Y_{i_2} \cdots (VV^*) Y_{i_r} e = V^* Y_{i_1} \cdots Y_{i_r} e.$$

Since $w(Y)e \in \mathcal{F}$, we also have

$$V^* Y_{i_1} \cdots Y_{i_r} e = w(Y)e.$$

Thus $w(E)e = w(Y)e$, and by linearity

$$p(E)e = p(Y)e$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle_d$. Consequently,

$$\|p(Y)e\| = \|p(E)\xi\|,$$

which proves the lemma. \square

8.2. Free products. Fix a positive integer m and integers $n_1, \dots, n_m \geq 2$. Let

$$y = \{y_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n_i - 1\}$$

be freely noncommuting self-adjoint variables, and let $\mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$ denote the corresponding free $*$ -algebra of polynomials in y .

Let $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_m)$. As in Section 7, let $L_i = L[n_i]$ and $L = \bigoplus n_i L_i$. Following the construction of $\text{povm}(n)$, let

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{E \in \mathcal{D}_L(\mathbb{C}^\ell)} \mathbb{C}^\ell,$$

define $\Psi : \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle \rightarrow B(\mathcal{G})$ by

$$\Psi(p) = \bigoplus_{E \in \mathcal{D}_L} p(E), \quad (8.1)$$

and denote the resulting pre- C^* -algebra by $\text{povm}(\underline{n})$ and its completion by $\text{POVM}(\underline{n})$. In this way, $\text{POVM}(\underline{n})$ is naturally a sub- C^* -algebra of $B(\mathcal{G})$.

Setting, for each i

$$y_i = (y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,n_i-1}),$$

there is a canonical identification

$$\mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle = \mathbb{C}\langle y_1 \rangle * \dots * \mathbb{C}\langle y_m \rangle \quad (8.2)$$

as unital $*$ -algebras induced by the map defined on alternating products by

$$p_{i_1}(y_{i_1}) * \dots * p_{i_k}(y_{i_k}) \mapsto p_{i_1}(y_{i_1}) \dots p_{i_k}(y_{i_k}), \quad p_{i_j} \in \mathbb{C}\langle y_{i_j} \rangle.$$

In what follows, $\check{*}$ denotes the universal free product of C^* -algebras. More precisely, if \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 are unital C^* -algebras, then $\mathcal{B}_1 \check{*} \mathcal{B}_2$ denotes the unital C^* -algebra obtained by completing the algebraic free product $\mathcal{B}_1 * \mathcal{B}_2$ with respect to the universal norm; see (8.3). We refer the reader to [VDN92] for a detailed discussion on the free product of C^* -algebras.

Proposition 8.3. *The canonical identification of the $*$ -algebras in equation (8.2) induces the identifications*

- (1) $\text{povm}(\underline{n}) = \text{povm}(n_1) * \dots * \text{povm}(n_m)$;
- (2) $\Psi = \Psi_{n_1} * \dots * \Psi_{n_m} : \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle \rightarrow \text{povm}(\underline{n})$; and
- (3) $\text{POVM}(\underline{n}) = \text{POVM}(n_1) \check{*} \dots \check{*} \text{POVM}(n_m)$.

The proof of Proposition 8.3 will use the following analog of Lemma 8.2.

Lemma 8.4. *If \mathcal{E} is a Hilbert space, $Y \in \mathcal{D}_L(\mathcal{E})$ and $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$, then*

$$\|p(Y)\| \leq \|p\|.$$

Proof of Proposition 8.3. Items (1) and (2) follow immediately from the definitions and the canonical identification of the free algebras in (8.2).

To prove (3), we must show that the norm on $\text{povm}(\underline{n})$, inherited from its embedding in $B(\mathcal{G})$ via Ψ , coincides with the universal free product C^* -norm on the algebraic free product $*_{i=1}^m \text{povm}(n_i)$. By definition, the norm of an element $p \in *_{i=1}^m \text{povm}(n_i)$ in the free product C^* -algebra $\text{POVM}(n_1) \check{*} \dots \check{*} \text{POVM}(n_m)$ is given by the universal norm:

$$\|p\|_{\text{univ}} = \sup \{ \|\pi(p)\| : \pi = \pi_1 * \dots * \pi_m \}, \quad (8.3)$$

where each $\pi_i : \text{POVM}(n_i) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ is a unital $*$ -representation on a common Hilbert space \mathcal{E} .

Let $\pi = \pi_1 * \dots * \pi_m$ be such a representation on \mathcal{E} . For each i , let $Y_{i,j} = \pi_i(y_{i,j})$. By Lemma 8.1, $Y_i = (Y_{i,1}, \dots, Y_{i,n_i-1}) \in \mathcal{D}_{n_i}(\mathcal{E})$. Thus, the combined tuple $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_L(\mathcal{E})$.

Since $\pi(p) = p(Y)$ and the norm on $\text{POVM}(\underline{n})$ is defined as the supremum over all such evaluations (see (8.1) and Lemma 8.4), it follows that

$$\|\pi(p)\| = \|p(Y)\| \leq \|p\|_{\text{POVM}(\underline{n})}.$$

Taking the supremum over all free product representations π yields

$$\|p\|_{\text{univ}} \leq \|p\|_{\text{POVM}(\underline{n})}. \quad (8.4)$$

Conversely, the norm $\|p\|_{\text{POVM}(\underline{n})}$ is achieved by taking the supremum of $\|p(Y)\|$ over all $Y \in \mathcal{D}_L(\mathcal{E})$ and all Hilbert spaces \mathcal{E} . Let $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_m) \in \mathcal{D}_L(\mathcal{E})$. Then each $Y_i \in \mathcal{D}_{n_i}(\mathcal{E})$, which, by the universal property of $\text{POVM}(n_i)$, induces a unital $*$ -representation $\tau_i : \text{POVM}(n_i) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ given by $\tau_i(y_{i,j}) = Y_{i,j}$. These representations naturally combine into a free product representation $\tau = \tau_1 * \dots * \tau_m$ of the algebraic free product on \mathcal{E} . For this representation, $\tau(p) = p(Y)$. Consequently,

$$\|p(Y)\| = \|\tau(p)\| \leq \|p\|_{\text{univ}}.$$

Taking the supremum over all $Y \in \mathcal{D}_L(\mathcal{E})$ gives

$$\|p\|_{\text{POVM}(\underline{n})} \leq \|p\|_{\text{univ}}. \quad (8.5)$$

Combining (8.4) and (8.5), we obtain $\|p\|_{\text{POVM}(\underline{n})} = \|p\|_{\text{univ}}$ for all $p \in *_{i=1}^m \text{povm}(n_i)$. Since $\text{povm}(\underline{n})$ is dense in $\text{POVM}(\underline{n})$ by definition, and the algebraic free product $*_{i=1}^m \text{povm}(n_i)$ is dense in $\text{POVM}(n_1) \check{*} \dots \check{*} \text{POVM}(n_m)$, their respective C^* -completions coincide. Thus, $\text{POVM}(\underline{n}) = \text{POVM}(n_1) \check{*} \dots \check{*} \text{POVM}(n_m)$. \square

Theorem 8.5 below is the main result of this section.

Theorem 8.5. *The ordered $*$ -algebra $\text{povm}(\underline{n})$ has a perfect Positivstellensatz. Let $\check{p} \in B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \text{povm}(\underline{n})$ be of degree $2\mathbf{d} + 1$ (as an element of $B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$). Then \check{p} is positive if and only if the following hold.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{H} is (separable and) infinite-dimensional, then there exist*

$$f, f_i, f_{i,j} \in B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \text{povm}(\underline{n})$$

of degree \mathbf{d} such that

$$\check{p} = f^* f + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} f_{i,j}^* y_{i,j} f_{i,j} + f_i^* \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} y_{i,j} \right) f_i \right].$$

- (2) *If $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^\nu$ is finite-dimensional, then there exist a positive integer N and elements*

$$f_k, f_{i,k}, f_{i,j,k} \in M_\nu(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{povm}(\underline{n})$$

of degree \mathbf{d} such that

$$\check{p} = \sum_{k=1}^N f_k^* f_k + \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} f_{i,j,k}^* y_{i,j} f_{i,j,k} + f_{i,k}^* \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} y_{i,j} \right) f_{i,k} \right].$$

Proof. The backward implication is immediate. We prove the forward implication. The polynomial \check{p} is identified with $\check{p} \in B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$, which in turn is identified with its image in $B(\mathcal{G})$. Thus, the assumption that \check{p} is positive means $\check{p}(E) \geq 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{D}_L$. Since also $\check{p} = \check{p}^*$, Proposition 7.1 applies to \check{p} yielding the desired conclusion. \square

9. PROOF OF THEOREM B

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem B. In a first step we reduce the problem from a free product of finite abelian groups to a free product of finite cyclic groups.

9.1. From abelian to cyclic. Our goal is to express positive elements of the group algebra

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{G}_m] = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}_1] * \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}_2] * \cdots * \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}_m],$$

as sums of squares, where $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2, \dots, \mathbb{G}_m$ are finite abelian groups. The result is naturally interpreted in terms of polynomials. Doing so makes two novel aspects transparent. There are provable degree bounds and the result holds even for polynomials with operator coefficients.

For any finite abelian group \mathbb{G} , the C^* -algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{|\mathbb{G}|}$, where $|\mathbb{G}|$ is the cardinality of \mathbb{G} . Consequently,

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}_1] * \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}_2] * \cdots * \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}_m] \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_1}] * \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_2}] * \cdots * \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_m}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} * \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_{n_m}],$$

where n_i is the cardinality of \mathbb{G}_i . Moreover, such an isomorphism preserves both extent and positivity: an element

$$p \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{G}_m]$$

has extent \mathbf{d} if and only if its image has extent \mathbf{d} , and p is positive if and only if its image is positive. Thus, it is enough to prove Theorem B for a free product of finite cyclic groups.

9.2. Free product of finite cyclic groups. For the rest of this section, set

$$\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} * \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_{n_m}.$$

We shall express positive elements of the group algebra

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} * \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_{n_m}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_1}] * \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_2}] * \cdots * \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_m}]$$

as sums of squares by applying the Positivstellensatz for $\text{povm}(\underline{n})$, namely Theorem 8.5.

Let x_i denote a generator of \mathbb{Z}_{n_i} . Thus, with multiplication as the group operation, \mathbb{Z}_{n_i} is, as a set, $\{x_i^j : 0 \leq j < n_i\}$. Elements of \mathbb{W} are words in $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$. A word $w \in \mathbb{W}$ has the form

$$w = x_{i_1}^{j_1} x_{i_2}^{j_2} \cdots x_{i_k}^{j_k}, \quad 1 \leq j_\ell < n_{i_\ell}, \quad i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \cdots \neq i_k.$$

Remark 9.1. Here one defines the *total degree* of the word w to be $\sum_{\ell=1}^k j_\ell$. The *total degree* of a polynomial p in x is then the largest total degree among all words w appearing with nonzero coefficient in (1.1).

Let $\mathcal{U}(\underline{n})$ denote the set of all m -tuples of unitary operators

$$U = (U_1, \dots, U_m)$$

on separable Hilbert space satisfying

$$U_i^{n_i} = I, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

For such a tuple U , define

$$p(U) := \sum_w^{\text{finite}} P_w \otimes U^w.$$

Then

$$p^*(U) = p(U)^*.$$

Because \mathbb{W} is the free product of finite cyclic groups, every unitary representation $\tau \in \Pi(\mathbb{W})$ is uniquely determined by a tuple $U = (U_1, \dots, U_m) \in \mathcal{U}(\underline{n})$, and conversely every such tuple determines a unitary representation via

$$x_i \mapsto U_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Therefore, a polynomial $p \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ is positive if and only if $p(U) \geq 0$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}(\underline{n})$, and p is hermitian if and only if $p(U)$ is hermitian for all $U \in \mathcal{U}(\underline{n})$.

The norm on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ defined by

$$\|p\| = \sup \{ \|p(U)\| : U \in \mathcal{U}(\underline{n}) \}$$

(by considering the left regular action of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{W})$, it is easy to see that $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm, not just a semi-norm) satisfies the C^* identity, $\|p(U)\|^2 = \|p(U)^*p(U)\|$. Thus $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ (with this norm) is a pre- C^* -algebra whose completion is the free product (amalgamated over \mathbb{C}) C^* -algebra,

$$C^*(\mathbb{W}) := \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_1}] \check{*} \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_2}] \check{*} \cdots \check{*} \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_m}]. \quad (9.1)$$

Let \mathcal{E} be any Hilbert space. The order (in the sense of positive semidefinite) and norm extend to polynomials in $B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ either by viewing $B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ as a subalgebra of the C^* -algebra $B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes C^*(\mathbb{W})$ with the spatial (min) C^* -tensor product norm or more directly by the condition p is positive if and only if $p(U) \geq 0$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}(\underline{n})$.

9.3. Applying Boca's theorem. In this subsection Boca's theorem ([Boc91, DK19]) is applied in anticipation of transferring the Positivstellensatz of Theorem 8.5 for $\text{povm}(\underline{n})$ to a corresponding result for $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$.

9.3.1. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n]$ in projection form. The group $*$ -algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n]$ of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_n is canonically isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n as a C^* -algebra via the Fourier transform. For our purposes it is convenient to present $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n]$ as the universal $*$ -algebra generated by selfadjoint idempotents

$$q_1, \dots, q_n$$

subject to the relations

$$q_i^* = q_i = q_i^2, \quad q_i q_j = 0 \ (i \neq j), \quad q_1 + \cdots + q_n = 1. \quad (9.2)$$

The q_i are then minimal central projections and form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n]$. To do so concretely set

$$q_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-jk} x^j \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n], \quad (9.3)$$

where x is a generator of the group \mathbb{Z}_n and ω is a primitive n -th root of unity. Since the involution on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n]$ is given by, $(x^j)^* = x^{-j}$, it is readily checked that q_k so defined satisfies the relations in equation (9.2). Moreover, if U is a unitary operator satisfying $U^n = 1$, then

$$q_k(U) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-jk} U^j,$$

is the projection onto the spectral subspace of U associated to its eigenvalue ω^k .

Let $\Omega_n : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n] \rightarrow \text{povm}(n)$ denote the unital linear map determined by $\Omega_n(q_j) = y_j \in \mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, where $\mathbb{C}\langle y \rangle$ is identified with $\text{povm}(n)$.

Lemma 9.2. *The linear map $\Omega_n : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n] \rightarrow \text{povm}(n)$ is completely positive.*

Proof. First note that $\Omega_n(q_j) = y_j \in \text{povm}(n)$ is psd. Any $a \in M_k(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n])$ can be written uniquely as

$$a = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes q_j, \quad a_j \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$$

and a is psd if and only if each a_i is psd. In that case

$$1_k \otimes \Omega_n(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes \Omega_n(q_j) \geq 0$$

and the proof is complete. \square

9.3.2. Boca's theorem. As an initial observation, the mapping $\text{tr}_n : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n]$ on diagonal $n \times n$ matrices defined by

$$\text{tr}_n(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j q_j) = \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \right] 1$$

is a completely positive projection onto $\mathbb{C}1$. Writing

$$x^j = \sum_{k=1}^n \omega^{jk} q_k \tag{9.4}$$

it is evident that $\text{tr}_n(x^j) = 0$ for $1 \leq j < n$ and hence the kernel of tr_n is the span of $\{x^j : 1 \leq j < n\}$. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let Ω_i denote the linear map $\Omega_{n_i} : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}] \rightarrow \text{povm}(n_i)$. In the present setting Boca's theorem [Boc91, DK19] gives the following result.

Proposition 9.3. *There is a ucp map $\Omega : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}] \rightarrow \text{povm}(\underline{n})$ such that $\Omega|_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}]} = \Omega_i$ and*

$$\Omega(z_1 \cdots z_k) = \Omega_{i_1}(z_1) \cdots \Omega_{i_k}(z_k), \tag{9.5}$$

when $z_\ell \in \ker \text{tr}_{n_{i_\ell}}$ and $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \cdots \neq i_k$.

Remark 9.4. From equation (9.4),

$$\Omega(x_i^j) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \Omega(\omega_i^{jk} p_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_i-1} \omega_i^{jk} y_{i,k} + (1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n_i-1} y_{i,k}).$$

In particular, $\Omega(x_i^j)$ is a polynomial of degree (at most) one in $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathfrak{q} \rangle$.

For a reduced word w in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$,

$$w = x_{i_1}^{r_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{r_k},$$

(thus $1 \leq r_j < n_{i_j}$), we have, by Proposition 9.3,

$$\Omega(w) = \Omega_{i_1}(x_{i_1}^{r_1}) \cdots \Omega_{i_k}(x_{i_k}^{r_k}).$$

Further, for a polynomial $p = \sum p_w w$, where each w is reduced,

$$\Omega(p) = \sum_w p_w \Omega(w). \quad \square$$

9.4. A splitting. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$ let $p_{i,k}$ denote

$$p_{i,k} = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \omega^{-jk} x_i^j \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}]$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n_i$. Compare with equation (9.3). Since $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle$ is a free unital $*$ -algebra generated by $\{p_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n_i - 1\}$ and $\text{povm}(\underline{n})$ is also a unital $*$ -algebra generated by $\{y_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n_i - 1\}$, there is a unique unital $*$ -homomorphism $\tilde{s} : \mathbb{C}\langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle \rightarrow \text{povm}(\underline{n})$ determined by $\tilde{s}(p_{i,j}) = y_{i,j}$. Because the map $\Psi : \mathbb{C}\langle \mathfrak{y} \rangle \rightarrow B(\mathcal{G})$ of equation (8.1) is faithful, \tilde{s} induces a $*$ -unital map $s : \text{povm}(\underline{n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ determined by $s(y_{i,j}) = p_{i,j}$, where $y_{i,j}$ is identified with $\Psi(y_{i,j})$.

Lemma 9.5. *The unital $*$ -homomorphism $s : \text{povm}(\underline{n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ is surjective and splits Ω in the sense that $s(\Omega(z)) = z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$.*

Proof. Since \tilde{s} is surjective, so is s . Since $p_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ is mapped to $y_{i,j} \in \text{povm}(\underline{n})$ (identified with $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathfrak{y} \rangle$) under Ω , it follows that $s(\Omega(p_{i,j})) = p_{i,j}$. Thus, using Proposition 9.3 and Remark 9.4,

$$s(\Omega(z_\ell)) = s(\Omega_\ell(z_\ell)) = z_\ell,$$

for $z_\ell \in \ker \text{tr}_{n_\ell} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_\ell}]$ and consequently, $s(\Omega(z)) = z$ for a (reduced) word $z \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$ by Remark 9.4. From here the result follows by linearity. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem B.

Proof of Theorem B. Let \mathfrak{d} denote the extent of p , set

$$d = \lfloor \frac{\mathfrak{d}}{2} \rfloor,$$

and note $\mathfrak{d} \leq 2d + 1$.

We first claim that $\deg \Omega(p) \leq \mathfrak{d}$ as a polynomial in the variables $y_{i,j}$. Indeed, by Remark 9.4, if

$$w = x_{i_1}^{r_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{r_k}$$

is a reduced word of extent k , then $\Omega(x_{i_j})$ has degree at most one in $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathfrak{q} \rangle$ by Remark 9.4 and therefore $\Omega(w)$ has degree at most k . Since every word appearing in p has extent at most \mathfrak{d} , it follows that

$$\deg \Omega(p) \leq \mathfrak{d} \leq 2d + 1.$$

Applying Theorem 8.5 to $\check{p} = \Omega(p)$ therefore yields a weighted sum-of-squares representation in which all coefficient polynomials f_*, g_* have degree at most d . Now $q(y_{i,j}) = p_{i,j}$, and each $p_{i,j}$ belongs to the single factor $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{W}]$. Consequently, if r is a monomial of degree t in the variables $y_{i,j}$, then $s(r)$ is a product of t elements taken from the factors $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}]$, and after reducing adjacent letters from the same factor one obtains a linear combination of reduced words of extent at most t . Hence

$$\text{extent of } s(r) \leq \deg r$$

for every polynomial r , and in particular $s(g_k)$, $s(f_{i,j,k})$, and $s(f_{i,k})$ all have extent at most d . Finally, writing

$$p_{i,n_i} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} p_{i,j},$$

and defining

$$h_{i,j,k} = p_{i,j} s(f_{i,j,k}) \quad (1 \leq j \leq n_i - 1), \quad h_{i,n_i,k} = p_{i,n_i} s(f_{i,k}),$$

we obtain

$$p = \sum_k s(g_k)^* s(g_k) + \sum_k \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} h_{i,j,k}^* h_{i,j,k}.$$

Since each $p_{i,j}$ has extent at most 1, it follows that

$$\text{extent of } h_{i,j,k} \leq d + 1 = \lfloor \frac{\mathfrak{d}}{2} \rfloor + 1.$$

Thus all summands have extent at most $\lfloor \frac{\mathfrak{d}}{2} \rfloor + 1$. □

REFERENCES

- [AM15] Jim Agler, John E. McCarthy, *Global holomorphic functions in several noncommuting variables*, Can. J. Math. 67 (2015) 241–285. [3](#)
- [ANT19] Vadim Alekseev, Tim Netzer, Andreas Thom, *Quadratic modules, *-algebras, and free convexity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 372 (2019) 7525–7539. [3](#)
- [BT07] Mihály Bakonyi, Dan Timotin, *Extensions of positive definite functions on free groups*, J. Funct. Anal. 246 (2007) 31–49. [3](#)
- [BMV16] Joseph A. Ball, Gregory Marx, Victor Vinnikov, *Noncommutative reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 271 (2016) 1844–1920. [3](#)
- [Boc91] F. Boca, *Free products of completely positive maps and spectral sets*, J. Funct. Anal. 97 (1991) 251–263. [6](#), [8](#), [33](#), [34](#)
- [BCR98] Jacek Bochnak, Michel Coste, Marie-Françoise Roy, *Real algebraic geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. 36. Springer, ix, 430 p. (1998). [3](#)

- [BCPSW14] Nicolas Brunner, Daniel Cavalcanti, Stefano Pironio, Valerio Scarani, Stephanie Wehner, *Bell nonlocality*, Rev. Modern Phys. 86 (2014), 419–478. [3](#)
- [Cim09] Jakob Cimprič, *A representation theorem for Archimedean quadratic modules on $*$ -rings*, Canad. Math. Bull., 52 (2009), 39–52. [28](#)
- [Dav25] Kenneth R. Davidson, *Functional Analysis and Operator Algebras*, CMS/CAIMS Books in Mathematics 13. Springer, xiv, 797 p. (2025). [8](#), [11](#), [14](#), [23](#)
- [DDSS17] Kenneth R. Davidson, Adam Dor-On, Orr Moshe Shalit, Baruch Solel, *Dilations, Inclusions of Matrix Convex Sets, and Completely Positive Maps*, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2017) 4069–4130. [3](#), [16](#)
- [DK19] Kenneth R. Davidson and Evgenios T. A. Kakariadis, *A proof of Boca’s theorem*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 4 (2019) 869–876. [33](#), [34](#)
- [dOHMP09] Mauricio de Oliveira, J. William Helton, Scott McCullough, Mihai Putinar, *Engineering Systems and Free Semi-Algebraic Geometry*, in: Emerging Applications of Algebraic Geometry, 17–62, IMA Vol. Math. Appl. 149, Springer, 2009. [3](#)
- [DR10] Michael Dritschel, James Rovnyak, *The operator Fejér-Riesz theorem*, in: Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 207 (2010) 223–254. [3](#)
- [EW97] Edward G. Effros, Soren Winkler, *Matrix convexity: operator analogues of the bipolar and Hahn-Banach theorems*, J. Funct. Anal. 144 (1997) 117–152. [3](#), [5](#)
- [EPŠ24] Eric Evert, Benjamin Passer, Tea Štrelkelj, *Extreme points of matrix convex sets and their spanning properties*, in: *Operator Theory*, Springer, Cham, 2024, pp. 1–33. [3](#)
- [FKMPSZ+] Marco Fanizza, Larissa Kroell, Arthur Mehta, Connor Paddock, Denis Rochette, William Slofstra, Yuming Zhao, *The NPA hierarchy does not always attain the commuting operator value*, preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.04943> [3](#)
- [FHL18] Adam H. Fuller, Michael Hartz, Martino Lupini, *Boundary representations of operator spaces, and compact rectangular matrix convex sets*, J. Oper. Theory 79 (2018) 139–172. [3](#)
- [GW05] Jeffrey S. Geronimo, Hugo J. Woerdeman, *Positive extension, Fejér-Riesz factorization and autoregressive filters in two variables*, Ann. Math. (2) 160 (2005) 839–906. [3](#)
- [GoC23] Segev Gonen Cohen, *Algebraic Certificates for Positivity and Kazhdan’s Property (T)*, Master’s thesis, ETH Zürich, 100 p. (2023). [7](#)
- [Hel02] J. William Helton, *“Positive” noncommutative polynomials are sums of squares*, Ann. Math. (2) 156 (2002) 675–694. [3](#)
- [HKM12] J. William Helton, Igor Klep, Scott McCullough, *The convex Positivstellensatz in a free algebra*, Adv. Math. 231 (2012), 516–534. [3](#), [5](#), [7](#), [8](#), [24](#)
- [HKM17] J. William Helton, Igor Klep, Scott McCullough, *The tracial Hahn-Banach theorem, polar duals, matrix convex sets, and projections of free spectrahedra*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 19 (2017) 1845–1897. [3](#)
- [HM04] J. William Helton, Scott McCullough, *A Positivstellensatz for non-commutative polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356 (2004) 3721–3737. [3](#)
- [HM12] J. William Helton, Scott McCullough, *Every free basic convex semi-algebraic set has an LMI representation*, Ann. of Math. (2) 176 (2012) 979–1013. [3](#), [5](#)
- [HMP04] J. William Helton, Scott McCullough, Mihai Putinar, *A non-commutative Positivstellensatz on isometries*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 568 (2004) 71–80. [3](#)
- [JKM26] Abhay Jindal, Igor Klep, Scott McCullough, *Positive operator-valued noncommutative polynomials are squares*, Integral Equations Oper. Theory 98 (2026) 7, 26pp. [8](#), [9](#), [10](#), [11](#)
- [JM12] Michael T. Jury, Robert TW Martin, *Sub-Hardy-Hilbert Spaces in the Non-commutative Unit Row Ball*, in: Function Spaces, Theory and Applications, pp. 349–398. Springer, 2012. [3](#)
- [JMS21] Michael T. Jury, Robert TW Martin, Eli Shamovich, *Non-commutative rational functions in the full Fock space*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 374 (2021) 6727–6749. [3](#)
- [KVV14] Dmitry S. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Victor Vinnikov, *Foundations of free noncommutative function theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 199. American Mathematical Society (AMS), vi, 183 p. (2014). [3](#)
- [KLM+] Igor Klep, Jacob Levenson, Scott McCullough, *Fejér-Riesz factorization for positive noncommutative trigonometric polynomials*, preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2511.09267> [3](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [Kri19] Tom-Lukas Kriel, *An introduction to matrix convex sets and free spectrahedra*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 13 (2019) 3251–3335. [3](#)
- [Lin+] Junqiao Lin, *MIPco = coRE*, preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.07162> [3](#)
- [Mar08] Murray Marshall, *Positive polynomials and sums of squares*, American Mathematical Society (AMS), xii, 187 p. (2008). [3](#)

- [McC01] Scott McCullough, *Factorization of operator-valued polynomials in several non-commuting variables*, Linear Algebra Appl. 326 (2001), no. 1-3, 193–203. [3](#)
- [MSZ+] Arthur Mehta, William Slofstra, Yuming Zhao, *Positivity is undecidable in tensor products of free algebras*, to appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc (JEMS). [3](#), [6](#)
- [MSZ] Arthur Mehta, William Slofstra, Yuming Zhao, private communication. [3](#), [6](#)
- [MiSp17] James A. Mingo, Roland Speicher, *Free probability and random matrices*, Fields Institute Monographs 35. Springer, xiv, 336 p. (2017). [3](#)
- [MuSo11] Paul S. Muhly, Baruch Solel, *Progress in noncommutative function theory*, Sci. China, Math. 54 (2011) 2275–2294. [3](#)
- [NPA07] Miguel Navascués, Stefano Pironio, Antonio Acín, *Bounding the set of quantum correlations*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007), 010401. [3](#)
- [NPA08] Miguel Navascués, Stefano Pironio, Antonio Acín, *A convergent hierarchy of semidefinite programs characterizing the set of quantum correlations*, New J. Phys. **10** (2008), 073013. [3](#)
- [NT13] Tim Netzer, Andreas Thom, *Real closed separation theorems and applications to group algebras*, Pac. J. Math. 263 (2013) 435–452. [3](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [Oza13] Narutaka Ozawa, *About the Connes embedding conjecture*, Jpn. J. Math. (3) 8 (2013) 147–183. [3](#), [28](#)
- [PTD22] James E. Pascoe, Ryan Tully-Doyle, *The royal road to automatic noncommutative real analyticity, monotonicity, and convexity*, Adv. Math. 407 (2022), Article ID 108548, 24 p. [3](#)
- [Pas22] Benjamin Passer, *Complex free spectrahedra, absolute extreme points, and dilations*, Doc. Math. 27 (2022) 1299–1320. [3](#)
- [Pau03] Vern Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*, Cambridge University Press; 2003. [3](#), [8](#)
- [Pop95] Gelu Popescu, *Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces*, Math. Ann. 303 (1995) 31–46. [3](#)
- [Put93] Mihai Putinar, *Positive polynomials on compact semi-algebraic sets*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 42 (1993) 969–984. [3](#)
- [Sce24] Claus Scheiderer, *A course in real algebraic geometry. Positivity and sums of squares*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 303. Springer, xviii, 404 p. (2024). [3](#)
- [Scm91] Konrad Schmüdgen, *The K -moment problem for compact semi-algebraic sets*, Math. Ann. 289 (1991) 203–206. [3](#)
- [SIG98] Robert E. Skelton, T. Iwasaki, Dimitri E. Grigoriadis, *A unified algebraic approach to linear control design*, Taylor & Francis Ltd., xviii, 285 pp. (1998). [3](#)
- [Voi04] Dan-Virgil Voiculescu, *Free analysis questions. I: Duality transform for the coalgebra of $\partial_{X:B}$* , Int. Math. Res. Not. 16 (2004) 793–822. [3](#)
- [Voi10] Dan-Virgil Voiculescu, *Free analysis questions. II: The Grassmannian completion and the series expansions at the origin*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 645 (2010) 155–236. [3](#)
- [VDN92] Dan-Virgil Voiculescu, Kenneth J. Dykema, and Alexandru Nica, *Free Random Variables*, CRM Monograph Series 1, AMS, 1992. [3](#), [30](#)
- [Vol21] Jurić Volčič, *Hilbert’s 17th problem in free skew fields*, Forum Math. Sigma 9 (2021), Paper No. e61, 21 pp. [3](#)
- [Vol24] Jurić Volčič, *Linear matrix pencils and noncommutative convexity*, in: *Operator Theory*, D. Alpay, I. Sabadini, F. Colombo (eds.), Springer, Basel, 2024, pp. 1–14. [3](#)
- [WM21] Jie Wang, Victor Magron, *Exploiting term sparsity in noncommutative polynomial optimization*, Comput Optim Appl 80 (2021) 483–521 [3](#)
- [Zal17] Aljaž Zalar, *Operator Positivstellensätze for noncommutative polynomials positive on matrix convex sets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 445 (2017) 32–80. [3](#), [5](#), [7](#), [8](#), [16](#), [24](#)

ABHAY JINDAL, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA
Email address: abhay.jindal@fmf.uni-lj.si

IGOR KLEP, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA & FAMNIT, UNIVERSITY OF PRIMORSKA, KOPER & INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS, LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA
Email address: igor.klep@fmf.uni-lj.si

SCOTT MCCULLOUGH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE
Email address: sam@math.ufl.edu